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#### Abstract

Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of some Third Order nonlinear difference equations of the form $$
\begin{equation*} \Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-\tau_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=0, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots . \tag{1} \end{equation*}
$$ where $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator. $\Delta v_{n}=v_{n+1}-v_{n}\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers, $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of integers are established.
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## 1. Introduction

In this note we consider the nonlinear difference equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-\tau_{\mathrm{n}}}\right)=0, \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator. $\Delta v_{n}=v_{n+1}-v_{n}\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of real numbers, $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of integers such that
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right): \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(n-\tau_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\infty$, where $\left\{I_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers and
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right): \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty$.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right): f: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a continuous with $u f(u)>0(u \neq 0)$.
By a solution of Equation (1) we mean a sequence ( $x_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) which is defined for $\mathrm{N} \geq \min _{i \geq 0}\left(i-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and satisfies Equation (1) for all large n . A nontrivial solution $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if for every $\mathrm{n}_{0}>$ 0 there exists $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ such $x_{\mathrm{n}} x_{\mathrm{n}+1} \leq 0$. Otherwise it is called non oscillatory.

In several recent papers the oscillatory behaviour of solution of non linear difference equations has been discussed e.g. see [1] - [8]. Our purpose in this paper is to give the sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions of Equation (1). The results obtained here extend those in [8].

## 2. Objective

- To find the Oscillation Solutions of Third Order Nonlinear Difference Equations with Delay


## 3. Results and Discussion

Theorem.3.1.
Assume that
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right): \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{\mathrm{n}}=\infty$,
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right): \quad \lim _{|\mathrm{u}|} \rightarrow \infty \inf |f(\mathrm{u})|>0$.
Then every solution of equation (1) is oscillatory

## Proof:

Assume, that equation (1) has non oscillatory solution $\left\{x_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$, and we assume that $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is eventually positive. Then there is a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-\tau_{\mathrm{n}}}>0 \text { for } n \geq \mathrm{n}_{0} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Equation (1) we have
$\Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-\tau_{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \leq 0, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$, and so $\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is an eventually non increasing sequence. We first show that $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$

In fact, if there is an $\mathrm{n}_{1} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n} 1}=\mathrm{c}<0$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{c}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{1}$
that is $\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \frac{c}{r n}$ and
hence $\Delta x_{\mathrm{n}} \leq x_{\mathrm{n} 1}+\mathrm{c} \sum_{k=n 1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r k}$

$$
x_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \sum_{s=m_{1}}^{m-1} x m_{1}+\mathrm{c} \sum_{s=m_{1}}^{m-1} \sum_{k=n}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r k s}+x_{n_{2}} \text { as } \mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow \infty
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}>0$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{1}$. Hence $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta x_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$
Therefore we obtain $x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}>0 \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0 \Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq 0$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$
Let $\mathrm{L}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\mathrm{n}}$
Then $\mathrm{L}>0$ is finite or infinite.

## Case 1.

$\mathrm{L}>0$ is finite.
From the continuity of function $f(\mathrm{u})$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=f(\mathrm{~L})>0$. Thus we may choose a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{3} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that
$f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)>\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$
By substituting (3) into Equation (1) we obtain
$\Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq 0 \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$.
Summing up both sides of (4) from $n_{3}$ to $n\left(\geq n_{3}\right)$,
we obtain $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-r_{n_{3}} \Delta x_{n_{3}}+\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \sum_{i=n_{3}}^{n} q_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 0$
and so $\quad \frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \sum_{i=n_{3}}^{n} q_{\mathrm{i}} \leq r_{n_{3}} \Delta^{2} x_{n_{3}} \mathrm{n} \geq n_{3} \quad$ contradicts
Case 2.
$\mathrm{L}=\infty$
For this case, from the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$
we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)>0$ and so we may choose a positive constant c and a positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{4}$ sufficiently large such that
$f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq \mathrm{c}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{4}$.
Substituting (5) into Equation (1) we have $\Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{cq}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq 0 \mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{n}_{4}$.
Using the similar argument as that of Case 1 we may obtain a contradiction to the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$. This completes the proof.

## Theorem 3.2:

Assume, that
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right): \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}=\infty$, then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory.

## Proof:

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 with assumption that $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a Bounded non oscillatory solution of (1) we get the inequality (4) and so we obtain
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq 0 \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$
It is easy to see that
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq \Delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta \mathrm{R}$
From inequalities (6) and (7) we deduce
$\sum_{k=n 3}^{n} \Delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{k}}\right)-\sum_{k=n 3}^{n} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \sum_{k=n 3}^{n} R_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 0 \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$
which implies $\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \sum_{k=n_{3}}^{n} R_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \leq x_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n} 3} r_{n_{3}} \quad \Delta^{2} x_{n_{3}}-x_{n_{3}} \mathrm{n} \geq n_{3}$ Hence there exists a constant c such that $\sum_{k=n_{3}}^{n} R_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} \leq \mathrm{c}$ for all $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$. contrary to the assumption of the theorem.

Theorem 3.3: Assume that
$\left(C_{7}\right):\left(n-r_{n}\right)$ is non decreasing, where $r_{n} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots$.$\} , there is a subsequence of \left(r_{n}\right)$,
say $\left(r_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $r_{n_{k}} \leq 1$ for $\mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots .$,
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right): \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{\mathrm{n}}=\infty$,
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right): f$ is non decreasing and there is a nonnegative constant M such that
$\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \sup \frac{u}{\mathrm{f}(u)}=\mathrm{M}$
Then the difference $\left(\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of every solution $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of Equation (1) oscillates.

## Proof:

If not, then Equation (1) has a solution $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ such that its difference $\left(\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is non oscillatory. Assume that the sequence ( $\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is eventually negative.

Then there is positive integer $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ such that $\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}<0 \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{n}_{0}$. and so $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ decreasing for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$ which implies that $\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is also non oscillatory.

Set
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{r_{n} \Delta^{2} x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)} \quad \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{1} \geq \mathrm{n}_{0}$. (9) then
$\Delta \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{r_{n+1} \Delta^{2} x_{n+1}}{f\left(x_{n+1}-\tau_{n+1}\right)}-\frac{r_{n} \Delta^{2} x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)}$
$=\frac{\Delta r_{n} \Delta^{2} x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}+1} \frac{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n+1}-\tau_{n+1}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}-\tau_{n+1}\right) f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)}$.
$\leq \frac{\Delta r_{n} \Delta^{2} x_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{1}$.
Summing up both sides of (10) from $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ to n , we have
$\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-w_{n_{1}} \leq \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} q_{\mathrm{i}}$ and, by (vi) we get
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{\mathrm{n}}=-\infty$, $\qquad$ (11) Which implies that eventually
$f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)>0$
and therefore $x_{n}-r_{n}>0$. By (11), we can choose $n_{2}\left(\geq n_{1}\right)$
such that $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq-(\mathrm{M}+1), \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}+(\mathrm{M}+1) f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq 0, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{2}$.

Set $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{L}}$
Then $\mathrm{L} \geq 0$. Now we prove that $\mathrm{L}=0$. If $\mathrm{L}>0$ then we have
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\upharpoonright_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=f(\mathrm{~L})>0$
By the continuity of $f(\mathrm{u})$. Choosing an $\mathrm{n}_{3}$ sufficiently large, such that
$f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)>\frac{1}{2} f(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$ $\qquad$
and substituting (14) into (13) we have
$\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}+\frac{1}{2 r n}(\mathrm{M}+1) f(\mathrm{~L}) \leq 0 \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{3}$.
Summing up both sides of (15) from $\mathrm{n}_{3}$ to n we get
$x_{\mathrm{n}+1}-x_{n_{3}}+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{M}+1) f(\mathrm{~L}) \sum_{i=n_{3}}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{i}} \leq 0$ which implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\mathrm{n}}=-\infty$ This contradicts (12). Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\mathrm{n}}=0$.

By the assumptions we have
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{x_{n}-\tau_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}$. From this we can choose $\mathrm{n}_{4}$ such that
$\frac{x_{n}-\tau_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}-\tau_{n}\right)}<\mathrm{M}+1, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{4}$ That is $x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}<(\mathrm{M}+1) f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \quad \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{4}$ and so from (13) we get
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}+x_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}<0, \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{n}_{4}$.
In particular, from (16) for a subsequence ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{nk}}$ ) satisfying the condition (v),

$$
\text { we have } \quad x_{n_{k}+1}-x_{n_{k}}+x_{n_{k}}-r_{n_{k}} \leq r_{n_{k}}\left(x_{n_{k}+1^{-}} x_{n_{k}}\right)+x_{n_{k}}-r_{n_{k}}<0,
$$

for k sufficiently large, which implies that $0<x_{n_{k}+1}+\left(x_{n_{k}}-r_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right)<0$ for all large k . This is a contradiction. The case that $\left(\Delta^{2} x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is eventually positive can be treated in a similar fashion and so the proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The Oscillatory Properties Third Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Difference Equation it become Oscillate using Schwarz's Inequality
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