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#### Abstract

This paper present a new algorithm to find the root of non-linear transcendental functions. The new proposed algorithm is based on the combination of Regula-Falsi and Muller's Methods. It is found that Regula-Falsi method always gives guaranteed result but slow convergence. Muller is used the concept of interpolation to compute the root with faster convergence. As, it is well known that interpolation techniques are more popular and efficient for finding missing values. Therefore, the present paper used these two ideas and developed a new quadratically convergent algorithm. Error calculation has been done for real life examples using existing methods and new proposed method. The computed result shows that new proposed method provides better convergence than other methods.
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## 1. Introduction

Most of the real life-problems are non-linear in general therefore it is the challenging task for the mathematician and engineer to find the exact solution of such problems [1, 2]. In this reference, a number of methods have been proposed/implemented in the last two decades [1, 3-7]. Analytical solution of such non-linear equations are very difficult therefore only numerical method based iterative techniques is the way to find approximate solution. From the literature, there are some numerical methods such as Bisection, Secant, Regula-Falsi, Newton-Raphson, Muller's methods etc., to find approximate root of non-linear transcendental equations. It is well known that all the iterative methods require one or more initial guesses for the initial approximations. These initial approximations are used in different ways i.e., interpolation techniques, exponential form, derivative and without derivative form etc. The following subsections describes survey of different algorithms related to Regula-Falsi and Muller's methods. Then new-proposed method is presented on the basis of these two methods.

Regula-Falsi method is popular because it gives guaranteed root and faster convergence over Bisection method. This method has adopted the concept of straight line which is obtained by connecting two guess values such that their corresponding functions have opposite sign. The intersecting point of the straight line is the new approximate root. This process is lengthy and time consuming, therefore several researchers have implemented this standard Regula-Falsi method into different hybrid models to speed up the rate of convergence [1, 3-5, 7-11].

Dowell and Jarratt (1971) has given the modified form of standard Regula-Falsi method by replacement of 'new-function' to 'half of the some intermediate function'. It was found better convergence than previous Regula-Falsi Method [11]. Many Researchers have used Steffensen's method with standard Regula-Falsi method to speed up the rate of convergence [1, 3, 4]. In this reference, Wu and Wu (2000) has proposed quadratic convergent algorithm
without using the derivative function. This new algorithm was given by employing Steffensen's method of accelerating convergence after using standard Regula-Falsi method (RFM) [3, 4]. Kanwar et al.(2005) [5] discussed third-order iterative methods for solving non-linear equations. Using the above concept [12], they have given thirdorder multi-point methods without using second derivative. Sharma and Goyal (2006) studied fourth order derivativefree methods. This algorithm is developed using Steffensen's method, which use the idea of forward and backward differences [1]. It is found that few research has been done using two step methods [6, 7]. Noor and Ahmad (2006) suggested predictor-corrector method type iterative method by using standard Regula Falsi Method. This method is found better than previous methods [6]. Chen and $\operatorname{Li}(2006,2007)$ [8, 9] has implemented the RFM and named as improved exponential Regula-Falsi method for solving non-linear equations. In their modified method, they used an exponential iterative methods accelerating after applying classical RFM. This new modified method has asymptotic quadratic convergence. Gottlieb and Thompson (2010) [10] presented a powerful algorithm for finding the root that use direct quadratic interpolation in place of inverse quadratic interpolation. One of the major finding of their proposed new algorithm is that it rapidly converges without checking the sign of the function. This method of root finding has named as Bisected direct quadratic Regula Falsi (BDQRF) method. They have also discussed about the Inverse Quadratic Fit-Brent's method.

Muller's proposed a new algorithm based on three initial guesses. Muller's method gives rapid convergence without good initial values [13]. These three initial guesses are interpolated to compute the next value. One of the main advantages of Muller's method that it is useful to find the real as well as complex roots. An improved version of Bisection method and Muller's with global and asymptotic convergence of non-linear equations was given by Xinyuan Wu (2005). Their new proposed algorithm is more efficient than other previous methods. The modification in Muller's method by incorporating Bisection property, they obtained nice property of convergence [2].

Thus previously published works implemented Regula-Falsi method in several ways to obtain better convergence. It is found that if standard Regula-Falsi method is hybrid with interpolation based Muller's method then the resultant root is more appropriate than these two methods. Therefore, in the present work Regual-Falsi method is hybrid with interpolation based Muller's method to compute the real root.

## 2. New algorithm

Consider a continuous function $f(x)=0$. Suppose that $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ are the initial approximations for a solution of $f(x)$ $=0$ such that $f\left(x_{i}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right)<0$ for any $i, j$ in $\{0,1,2\} i \neq j$. Using Muller's method, the next approximation $x_{3}$ begins by considering the quadratic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=a\left(x-x_{2}\right)^{2}+b\left(x-x_{2}\right)+c \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that passes through $\left(x_{0}, p\left(x_{0}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, p\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, p\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$. The constants $a, b$ and $c$ can be determined from the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{0}\right)=a\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+b\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)+c \\
& f\left(x_{1}\right)=a\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+b\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+c \\
& f\left(x_{2}\right)=c
\end{aligned}
$$

To determine $\mathrm{x}_{3}$, the root of $P(x)=0$, we apply the quadratic formula to $P(x)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}-x_{2}=\frac{-2 c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives two possibilities for $\mathrm{x}_{3}$, depending on the sign preceding the radical term. In Muller's method, the sign is chosen to agree with the sign of b . Chosen in this manner, the denominator will be the largest in magnitude, which avoids the possibility of subtracting nearly equal numbers and results in $x_{3}$ being selected as the closest root of $P(x)=$ 0 to $x_{2}$.

If the points $x_{0}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are such that any two of them having their function values in opposite sign, say $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are such that $f\left(x_{0}\right) f\left(x_{1}\right)<0$, then from Regula-Falsi method, the first approximate root, $x_{3}$, can be calculated by using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=\frac{x_{0} f\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, the first approximate root by using Muller's method as given in equation (2) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=x_{2}-\frac{2 c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]-\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]}{\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)} \\
b & =\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]-\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]}{\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)} \\
c & =f\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now in the present proposed algorithm, we take the average of the iterations in equations (3) and (4) as our first approximate root $\hat{x}$. If the functional signs of initial approximations are having same sign, then repeat Muller method.

The generalization of this process is described in the following section.

### 2.1 Formulation of the proposed Algorithm

Recall the equations (3) and (4) in terms of iteration formulae in terms of $x_{n-1}, x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$ such that $f\left(x_{n-1}\right), f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f$ $\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ any pair of them having opposite signs. Without loss of generality, suppose $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ are in opposite signs. Now by Muller's method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+2}=x_{n+1}-\frac{2 c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are as in equation (4); and by Regula-Falsi method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+2}=\frac{x_{n} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-x_{n+1} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the average of equations (5) and (6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[x_{n+1}-\frac{2 c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}+\frac{x_{n} f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-x_{n+1} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by replacing the unused approximate point in Regula-Falsi method of three initial approximations i.e., $x_{n-1}$ by the new approximation $x_{n+2}$ in initial approximations and repeat the process until we get desired accuracy.

Flow chat of the proposed algorithm is presented in Figure 1.


Figure 1: Flow Chart for Proposed Algorithm

### 2.2 Steps for Calculating Root

The working steps for the proposed algorithm.

1. Choose any three values $x_{0}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as initial approximations such that any pair of them has opposite signs, say $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.
2. Calculate the approximate root by Muller's method as in equation (5) and find approximate root between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by Regula-Falsi method as in equation (6).
3. Take the average of these two approximate roots as our first approximation, say $x_{3}$.
4. Replace the unused point (in Regula-Falsi method) $x_{0}$ by $x_{3}$ in Step- 1 and repeat the process until, we get desired approximation.

### 2.3 Implementation of proposed method in Matlab

In this section, we provide the implementation of the proposed method in Matlab code similar to Regula-Falsi method in [14] by creating a function MullerFalsePosition ( $f, p 0, p 1, p 2$, esp, $n$ ) \}, as given below, where $f$ is given transcendental function, $p 0, p 1$, and $p 2$ are the initial approximation of the root, esp is the relative error and n is the number of iterations required.

```
function root = MullerFalsePosition(f,p0,p1,p2,esp,n)
iter = 0;ea = 0;pn = 0;
disp(' ------------------------------------');
disp(' No Root f(Root) ');
disp(' ------------------------------------');
while (1)
        a=p0;b=p1;c=p2;
        if f(p0)*f(p1)<0
            p0=p2;p1=a;p2=b;
            p0=p0;p1=p1;p2=p2;
            elseif f(p2)*f(p0)<0
            p0=p1;p1=a;p2=c;
        end
        pnold = pn;
f0 = f(p0);f1 = f(p1); f2 = f(p2);
c = f2;
b =((p0-p2)^2*(f1-f2)-(p1-p2)^2*(f0-f2))/((p0-p2)*(p1-p2)*(p0-p1));
```

$a=((p 1-p 2) *(f 0-f 2)-(p 0-p 2) *(f 1-f 2)) /((p 0-p 2) *(p 1-p 2) *(p 0-p 1)) ;$
$\mathrm{pnM}=\mathrm{p} 2-(2 * \mathrm{c}) /\left(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{sign}(\mathrm{b}) * \operatorname{sqrt}\left(\mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~S}^{\wedge} 2 \$ 2-4 \mathrm{~A}^{2} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{c}\right)\right)$;
pnRF $=(\mathrm{p} 1 * f 2-\mathrm{p} 2 * f 1) /(\mathrm{f} 2-f 1)$;
$\mathrm{pn}=(\mathrm{pnM}+\mathrm{pnRF}) / 2$;
disp(sprintf('<br>%3d <br>%10.5f <br>%15.5e', iter+1, pn, f(pn)));
iter = iter + 1;
pnnew $=$ pn;
ea $=\mathrm{abs}(($ pnnew - pnold) $/$ pnnew) $* 100$;
$\mathrm{p} 0=\mathrm{p} 1 ; \mathrm{p} 1=\mathrm{pn} ; \mathrm{p} 2=\mathrm{p} 2$;
if ea <= esp | iter >= n, break, end
end
disp('-----------------------');
disp(['Given function $f(x)=$ ' char(f)]);
disp(sprintf('Approximate root $\left.=\backslash \% \mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \mathrm{pn}\right)$ );

Section -3 has been included to verify the proposed algorithm.

## 3: Numerical Examples

This section provides two real life examples to show that the proposed algorithm is more efficient than other existing methods. In the following examples, MM, RF and PM denote Muller's, Regula-Falsi and Proposed methods respectively.
Example 3.1: Consider the polynomial equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=16 x^{4}-40 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+20 x+6 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [13, p.71] author has considered the polynomial in equation (8) for $x_{0}=0.5, x_{1}=1.0, x_{2}=1.5$ with accuracy tolerance $10^{-5}$. We use the same values using proposed method to show the efficiency of proposed method.

Table 1: Comparison of PM with MM and RF

| Ite. No. | PM | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | $\mathbf{M M}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | $\mathbf{R F}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.27120 | $8.83 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.28785 | 1.370 | 1.16250 | 2.387 |
| 2 | 1.23990 | $5.34 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.23746 | 0.126 | 1.25068 | $2.70 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 3 | 1.24169 | $3.65 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.24160 | $0.22 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.24171 | $9.45 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 4 | 1.24168 | $2.97 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.24168 | $2.58 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.24168 | $2.44 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 5 | 1.24168 | $3.45 \times 10^{-12}$ | 1.24168 | $2.57 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.24168 | $6.31 \times 10^{-9}$ |

In fourth iteration (Table 1), the proposed method computes its approximate root and corresponding function as 1.24168 and $2.97 \times 10^{-8}$ respectively. This corresponding function value is more closure to zero than $2.58 \times 10^{-5}$ and $2.44 \times 10^{-6}$ obtained from Muller's [13] and Regula-Falsi methods respectively. Therefore proposed method is more accurate than the standard Muller's [13] and Regula-Falsi methods. Hence, the proposed method is not only compute guaranteed root but also take less computational efforts for solving the real life problems.


Figure 2: Graphical representation of first iteration
In Figure-2, it is found that the first approximate root computed by proposed method (PM) is closer to exact root that other two methods ( RF and MM).

Example 3.2: In this example, we compare different functions and their real roots occur as given in Table-2. These functions are taken from existing literature [15].

Table 2: Comparison of different methods for non-linear transcendental functions

| Function <br> $\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{x})$ | Initial <br> Approx. | Approx. <br> $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)\right\|$ | Exact <br> Root | MM <br> No. Ite. | RF <br> No. Ite. | PM <br> No. Ite. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e^{x}-2 x-1$ | $1,2,3$ | $10^{-6}$ | 1.25643 | 5 | 17 | 4 |
| $\ln (1+\mathrm{x})$ | $-0.5,0,1$ | $10^{-6}$ | 0 | Fails | 9 | 6 |
| $\sin (x)-\cos (x)$ | $0,1,2$ | $10^{-6}$ | 0.785398 | 4 | 3 | 3 |

In the first function i.e., $e^{x}-2 x-1$ is converged in fifth, seventeen and fourth iterations using Muller's [13], Regula-Falsi methods and Proposed algorithm respectively. Which indicate that the proposed method involves less computational efforts.

For the second function $\ln (1+\mathrm{x})$, Muller's [13] fails to compute the root with initial approximation $-0.5,0$ and 1. However the proposed method are able to compute the root in the sixth iteration.

In the last function $\sin (x)-\cos (x)$ [13], Regula-Falsi methods and Proposed algorithm are computed the roots in $4^{\text {th }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ iteration respectively.


Figure 3: Graphical representation of first iteration
Figure 3 shows the number of iterations for different methods. It is found the proposed methods takes minimum number of iterations in comparison of other methods for each case.
Thus, From Table-2 and Fig.3, it is clear that proposed method is more efficient than other two methods.

## Conclusion

The aim of the present work is to provide new algorithms which compute the approximate root of non-linear transcendental function. In this paper, Interpolation technique is hybrid with Standard Regula-Falsi method and obtained better convergence with guaranteed results over existing methods. Thus, the proposed algorithms will help to compute the root of real life problem with less computational efforts.
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