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#### Abstract

Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a graph. A non-dominating set $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ is said to be a maximal non-dominating set (mn-d-set) if every superset of A is a dominating set of G . The non-domination number $\lambda$ of G is the minimum cardinality taken over all mn-d-sets of $G$. The upper non-domination number $\Lambda$ of $G$ is the maximum cardinality of a non-dominating set of G . The uniform domination number $\gamma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{G})$, is the least positive integer k such that any k -element subset of V is a dominating set of G . In this paper, we obtain a relation between non- domination number and uniform domination number
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## 1. Introduction.

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected graphs and we follow standard definitions of graph theory as found in [2].

Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ be a graph of order n . The open neighborhood $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{v})$ of a vertex $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ consists of the set of all vertices adjacent to v . The closed neighborhood of v is $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{v}) \cup\{\mathrm{v}\}$. For a set $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$, the open neighborhood $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{S})$ is defined to be $\bigcup_{N(v)}$, and the closed neighborhood of S is $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{S}]=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{S}) \cup \mathrm{S}$. The uniform domination number $\gamma_{u}(\mathrm{G})$, is the least positive integer $k$ such that any $k$-element subset of $V$ is a dominating set of $G$. The concept of uniform domination in graphs was introduced by S.Arumugam and Paul raj Joseph[1].We need the following theorem from [1].

Theorem A[1]. For any graph G, $\gamma_{u}(G)=p-\delta(G)$.

## 2.Non-Domination.

A subset A of V is called a non-dominating set (or, in short, n -d set) if there exists a vertex u in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}$ which is not adjacent to any vertex in A. A maximal $n$-d set (mn-d set) is defined in an obvious way. Clearly $n-d$ set $A$ is a mn-d set if and only if $A \cup\{x\}$ is a dominating set, for every $x \in V-A$. The $n-d$ number $\lambda$ of $G$ is minimum cardinality taken over all mn-d-sets of $G$. The upper $n-d$ number $\Lambda$ of $G$ is the maximum cardinality of $n-d$ set of $G$.

The next theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a subset of $V(G)$ to be a mn-d set

Theorem1. A set $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ is a mn-d set of G if and only if there exists a vertex $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}$ such that $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{x}]$, where $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{x}]$ is a minimal element of the family of closed neighborhoods.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ be a mn-d set of G and f be the family of closed neighborhood sets of G. Since A is a $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}$ set, there exists $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}$ such that $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{x}] \cap \mathrm{A}=\phi$.Then $\mathrm{A} \subseteq \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{x}]$.

As $A$ is a mn-d set, $A=V-N[x]$.Suppose there exists $y \in V$ such that $N[y]$ is a proper subset of $N[x]$. Then $A=V-N[x]$, which is a proper subset of $V-N[y]$, which is not true. Hence $N[x]$ is minimal among the family of closed neighborhoods, f .

Conversely, let $A=V-N[x]$, where $N[x]$ is minimal element in $f$. Then clearly $A$ is a $n-d$ set. Let $y \in N$ $[x], y \neq x$.Suppose that $A \cup\{y\}$ is also a $n-d$ set. Then there exists a vertex $z$ in $V$ such that $N[z] \subseteq N[x]$ $-\{y\}$ which contradicts the minimality of $N[x]$.Thus $A$ is a mn-d set.

Proposition2.A graph G is totally disconnected if and only if $\lambda(\mathrm{G})=\mathrm{p}-1$.
Corollary 3. For any graph G, $\lambda=p-\delta-1$ and $\Lambda \geq p-\Delta-1$.
Theorem 4. For any graph $G, \lambda=\gamma_{u}-1$.
Proof. By definition of $\lambda$, there exists a n-d set of cardinality $\lambda$. Therefore $\gamma_{u} \geq \lambda+1$.
Also every set of cardinality $\lambda+1$ is a dominating set. Therefore $\gamma_{u} \leq \lambda+1$.
Hence $\gamma_{u}=\lambda+1$.
Remark 5. Corollary (2) and theorem (3) provide another proof for the fact $\gamma_{u}=\mathrm{p}-\delta$. [1].
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