

On Certain Topological Structures of Two - Banach Space Valued Paranormed Sequence Space $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$

Narayan Prasad Pahari

Central Department of Mathematics, Tribhuvan University,
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
Email : nppahari @ gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study a new class $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ of sequences with values in 2-Banach space as a generalization of the familiar sequence space ℓ_p . We explore some of the preliminary results that characterize the linear topological structure of the class $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ when topologized it with suitable natural paranorm.

Keywords and Phrases: 2- normed space, sequence space, paranormed space, solid space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46B15

1. Introduction

So far, a good number of research works have been done on various types of 2-normed space

valued sequence spaces. The notion of 2-normed space was initially introduced by S. Gähler [17]

as an interesting linear generalization of a normed linear space, which was subsequently studied by

Iseki [9], White and Cho [5], Freese *et al.* [15], Freese and Cho [14] and many others. Recently a

lot of activities have been started by many researchers to study this concept in different

directions, for instances, Savas [2], Gunawan and Mashadi [3], Srivastava and Pahari ([7], [8]),

Açikgöz [10], and others.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic facts and definitions that are used in this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let S be a linear space of dimension > 1 over K , the field of real or complex numbers. A 2 - norm on S is a real valued function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ on $S \times S$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\|s, t\| \geq 0$ and $\|s, t\| = 0$ if and only if s and t are linearly dependent;
- (ii) $\|s, t\| = \|t, s\|$, for all $s, t \in S$;

(iii) $\|\alpha s, t\| = |\alpha| \|s, t\|$, where $\alpha \in K$ and $s, t \in S$; and

(iv) $\|s_1 + s_2, t\| \leq \|s_1, t\| + \|s_2, t\|$, for all s_1, s_2 and $t \in S$.

The pair $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a 2-normed space. Thus the notion of 2-normed space is just a two- dimensional analogue of a normed space.

Recall that $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence $\langle s_n \rangle$ in S is convergent to some s_0 in S . Geometrically, a 2-norm function represents the area of the usual parallelogram spanned by the two associated vectors.

Example 2.2. Consider $S = \mathbb{R}^2$, being equipped with

$$\|\bar{s}, \bar{t}\| = |s_1t_2 - s_2t_1|, \text{ where } \bar{s} = (s_1, s_2) \text{ and } \bar{t} = (t_1, t_2).$$

Then $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ forms a 2-normed space and $\|\bar{s}, \bar{t}\|$ represents the area of the parallelogram spanned by the two associated vectors \bar{s} and \bar{t} .

Definition 2.3. A sequence $\bar{s} = \langle s_n \rangle$ in a linear 2-normed

space S is *convergent* if there is an $s_0 \in S$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n - s_0, t\| = 0$, for each $t \in S$. It is said to be a *Cauchy* if

there are t and w in S such that t and w are linearly independent and

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_m - s_n, t\| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_m - s_n, w\| = 0.$$

The notion of convergence was introduced by White and Cho [5]. A linear 2-normed space

$(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence $\langle s_n \rangle$ in S is convergent to some $s \in S$.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be the 2- Normed space over the field C of complex numbers and

$\bar{\theta} = (\theta, \theta, \theta, \dots)$ denotes the zero element of S . Let $\omega(S)$ denotes the linear space of all sequences

$\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle$ with $s_k \in S, k \geq 1$ with usual coordinate wise operations i.e., for each

$\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle, \bar{w} = \langle w_k \rangle \in \omega(S)$ and $\gamma \in C$,

$$\bar{s} + \bar{w} = \langle s_k + w_k \rangle \text{ and } \gamma \bar{s} = \langle \gamma s_k \rangle.$$

We shall denote $\omega(C)$ by ω . Any linear subspace of ω is then called a *sequence space*.

Further, if $\bar{\gamma} = \langle \gamma_k \rangle \in \omega$ and $\bar{s} \in \omega(S)$ we shall write $\bar{\gamma} \bar{s} = \langle \gamma_k s_k \rangle$.

The concept of paranorm is closely related to linear metric space (see, Wilansky [1]) and its studies on sequence spaces were initiated by Maddox [4] and many others.

Definition 2.5: A paranormed space (S, Φ) is a linear space S with zero element θ together with a function $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ (called a paranorm on S) which satisfies the following axioms:

PN1: $\Phi(\theta) = 0$;

PN2: $\Phi(s) = \Phi(-s)$, for all $s \in S$;

PN3: $\Phi(s_1 + s_2) \leq \Phi(s_1) + \Phi(s_2)$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$; and

PN4: Scalar multiplication is continuous i.e., if $\langle \gamma_n \rangle$ is a sequence of scalars with $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ as

$n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\langle s_n \rangle$ is a sequence of vectors with $\Phi(s_n - s) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\Phi(\gamma_n s_n - \gamma s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that the continuity of scalar multiplication is equivalent to

(i) if $\Phi(s_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Phi(\gamma_n s_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; and

(ii) if $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and s be any element in S , then $\Phi(\gamma_n s) \rightarrow 0$, see Wilansky [1].

A paranorm is called total if $\Phi(s) = 0 \Rightarrow s = \theta$, see Wilansky [1].

The studies of paranorm on sequence spaces were initiated by Maddox [4] and many others. Basariv and Altundag [11], Pahari [12], Tiwari and Srivastava [13], Parasar and Choudhary [16], Khan [18], Bhardwaj and Bala [19], and many others further studied various types of paranormed sequence spaces and function spaces.

Definition 2.6. A sequence space S is said to be *solid* if $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in S$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \langle \gamma_k \rangle$ a sequence of scalars with $|\gamma_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \geq 1$, then

$$\bar{\gamma} \bar{s} = \langle \gamma_k s_k \rangle \in S.$$

3. The Class $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ of 2-Normed Space Valued Vector Sequences

Let $\bar{u} = \langle u_k \rangle$ and $\bar{v} = \langle v_k \rangle$ be any sequences of strictly positive real numbers and $\bar{\xi} = \langle \xi_k \rangle$ and $\bar{\mu} = \langle \mu_k \rangle$ be the sequences of non zero complex numbers.

We now introduce the following classes of 2-normed space S -valued vector sequences

$$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}) = \{ \bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in \omega(S) \text{ satisfying } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_k\}$$

$$s_k, t \|^{u_k} < \infty, \text{ for each } t \in S \}.$$

In fact, this class is a generalization of the familiar sequence spaces, studied in Srivastava and Pahari ([6], [7], [8]), Pahari [12], using 2-norm.

4. Main Results

In this section, we shall investigate some results that characterize the linear topological structure of the class $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ of 2-normed space S -valued sequences by endowing it with suitable natural paranorm. Throughout the work, we denote

$$\sum_{(k,1)}^{\infty} \text{ for } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}, \sum_{(k,n)}^{\infty} \text{ for } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}, z_k = |\xi_k \mu_k^{-1}|^{u_k}, \sup u_k = M \text{ and for}$$

$$\text{scalar } \alpha, A[\alpha] = \max(1, |\alpha|).$$

But when the sequences $\langle u_k \rangle$ and $\langle v_k \rangle$ occur, then to distinguish M we use the notations $M(u)$ and $M(v)$ respectively.

Theorem 4.1. $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a linear space over the field of complex numbers \mathbf{C} if

$$\langle u_k \rangle \text{ is bounded above.}$$

Proof. Assume that $\sup_k u_k < \infty$ and $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle, \bar{w} = \langle w_k \rangle \in \ell(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. So that for each

$t \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k w_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty.$$

Let $0 < u_k \leq \sup_k u_k = M, D = \max(1, 2^{M-1})$ and setting $2D \max(1, |\alpha|^M) \leq 1$ and $2D \max(1, |\beta|^M) \leq 1$ and using

$$|a + b|^{u_k} \leq D \{ |a|^{u_k} + |b|^{u_k} \} \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k (\alpha s_k + \beta w_k), t\|^{u_k} &\leq \sum_{(k,1)} [D |\alpha|^{u_k} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \\ &+ D |\beta|^{u_k} \|\xi_k w_k, t\|^{u_k}] \\ &\leq \sum_{(k,1)} [D A[|\alpha|^M] \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \\ &+ D A[|\beta|^M] \|\xi_k w_k, t\|^{u_k}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k w_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty,$$

for each $t \in S$ and therefore $\alpha\bar{s} + \beta\bar{w} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$.

This implies that $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a linear space over C .

Theorem 4.2. *If $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a linear space over C then $\langle u_k \rangle$ is bounded above.*

Proof.

Suppose that $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a linear space over C but $\sup_k u_k = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $\langle k(n) \rangle$ of positive integers satisfying $1 \leq k(n) < k(n+1)$, $n \geq 1$ for which

$$u_{k(n)} > n, \text{ for each } n \geq 1 \tag{4.1}$$

Now, corresponding to $s_0 \in S$ and $s_0 \neq \theta$, we define the sequence $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle$ by

$$s_k = \begin{cases} \xi_{k(n)}^{-1} n^{-2/u_{k(n)}} s_0, & \text{if } k = k(n), n \geq 1 \text{ and} \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Then for $k = k(n)$, $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} &= \sum_{(n,1)} \|n^{-2/u_{k(n)}} s_0, t\|^{u_{k(n)}} = \sum_{(n,1)} \frac{\|s_0, t\|^{u_{k(n)}}}{n^2} \\ &\leq A [\|s_0, t\|^{M(u)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{(n,1)} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty,$$

$$\text{and } \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} = 0, \text{ for } k \neq k(n), n \geq 1,$$

showing that $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. But on the other hand, let us choose $t_0 \in S$ such that $\|s_0, t_0\| = 1$. Then for such t_0 and scalar $\alpha = 4$, for $k = k(n)$, $n \geq 1$, in view of (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\alpha \xi_k s_k, t_0\|^{u_k} &= \sum_{(n,1)} \|\xi_{k(n)} \alpha s_{k(n)}, t_0\|^{u_{k(n)}} \\ &= \sum_{(n,1)} \|4 n^{-2/u_{k(n)}}\| \\ s_0, t_0\|^{u_{k(n)}} &= \sum_{(n,1)} \frac{4^{u_{k(n)}}}{n^2} \|s_0, t_0\| \\ &\geq \sum_{(n,1)} \frac{4^n}{n^2} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\alpha\bar{s} \notin \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 4.3. *$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ is a linear space over C if and only if $\sup_k u_k < \infty$.*

Theorem 4.4. *The space $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a solid.*

Proof. Let $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. So that for each $t \in S$,

$$\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty.$$

Let $\langle \gamma_k \rangle$ be a sequence of scalars satisfying $|\gamma_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k \gamma_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} &= \sum_{(k,1)} |\gamma_k|^{u_k} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\bar{\gamma}\bar{s}\|^{u_k} < \infty,$$

for each $t \in S$. This shows that $\langle \gamma_k s_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ and hence $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ is normal.

Let $\bar{u} = \langle u_k \rangle$ such that $\sup_k u_k < \infty$ and $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. We define a real valued function

$$\Phi_{\bar{\xi}, \bar{u}}(\bar{s}) = \left\{ \left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M}, \text{ for each } t \in S \right\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Throughout the work, Φ will denote $\Phi_{\bar{\xi}, \bar{u}}$ and $\bar{u} = \langle u_k \rangle$, $\bar{v} = \langle v_k \rangle$ such that $\sup_k u_k < \infty$ and

$\sup_k v_k < \infty$. We prove below that $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ with respect to Φ forms a paranormed space.

Theorem 4.5. *$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ forms a total paranormed-space with respect to Φ .*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in C$ and $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle, \bar{w} = \langle w_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. Then we can easily verify

that Φ satisfy the following properties of paranorm.

- PN₁. $\Phi(\bar{s}) \geq 0$, and $\Phi(\bar{s}) = 0$ if and only if $\bar{s} = \bar{\theta}$;
- PN₂. $\Phi(\bar{s} + \bar{w}) \leq \Phi(\bar{s}) + \Phi(\bar{w})$;
- PN₃. $\Phi(\alpha\bar{s}) \leq A(\alpha)\Phi(\bar{s})$;
- PN₄. Finally for continuity of scalar multiplication, it is sufficient to show that

(a) $\Phi(\bar{s}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ imply $\Phi(\gamma_n \bar{s}^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$; and

(b) $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ implies $\Phi(\gamma_n \bar{s}) \rightarrow 0$ for each $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$.

Now to prove (a) suppose $|\gamma_n| \leq L$ for all $n \geq 1$, then in view of (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\gamma_n \bar{s}^{(n)}) &= \left\{ \left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\gamma_n \xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M}, \text{ for each } t \in S \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_k |\gamma_n|^{u_k/M} \left\{ \left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M}, \text{ for each } t \in S \right\} \\ &\leq A(L) \Phi(\bar{s}^{(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

whence (a) follows.

Next if $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer K such that

$$\sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^M, \text{ for each } t \in S.$$

Further if $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$, we can find N such that for $n \geq N$, then for each $t \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{(k,K-1)} |\gamma_n|^{u_k} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^M \text{ and } |\gamma_n| \leq 1.$$

Thus for each $t \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\gamma_n \bar{s}) &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \|\gamma_n \xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N$, and hence (b) follows.

Theorem 4.6. *If S is a Banach space, then $(\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}), \Phi)$ is complete.*

Proof. We prove the completeness of $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ with respect to the metric $d(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) = \Phi(\bar{s} - \bar{t})$.

Let $\langle \bar{s}^{(n)} \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists N such that for all $n, m \geq N$ and for each $t \in S$, we have

$$\Phi(\bar{s}^{(n)} - \bar{s}^{(m)}) = \left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k^{(n)} - \xi_k s_k^{(m)}, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} < \varepsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

and so for all $n, m \geq N$ and $k \geq 1$ and for each $t \in S$, we have

$$\|s_k^{(n)} - s_k^{(m)}, t\| < |\xi_k|^{-1} \varepsilon^{M/u_k} < |\xi_k|^{-1} \varepsilon.$$

This shows that for each k , $\langle s_k^{(n)} \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in S and because of completeness of S , $s_k^{(n)} \rightarrow s_k \in S$ (say) for each k . Being a Cauchy sequence $\langle s_k^{(n)} \rangle$ is bounded, i.e. $\Phi(s_k^{(n)}) \leq L$ for some $L > 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$. Thus for every n and r ,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^r \|\xi_k s_k^{(n)} - \xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} \leq L.$$

First taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $r \rightarrow \infty$, then for each $t \in S$,

$$\left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} \leq L$$

which implies that $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$.

Now for any r , by (4.4) we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^r \|\xi_k s_k^{(n)} - \xi_k s_k^{(m)}, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M} < \varepsilon, \text{ for } n, m \geq N,$$

and so letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ first and then $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\Phi(\bar{s}^{(n)} - \bar{s}) = \left(\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k^{(n)} - \xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} \right)^{1/M}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq N \text{ and for each } t \in S$$

i.e. $\bar{s}^{(n)} \rightarrow \bar{s}$ in $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the completeness of $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$.

Theorem 4.7. *For any $\bar{u} = \langle u_k \rangle$, $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}) \subset \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{u})$ if*

$$\liminf_k z_k > 0.$$

Proof. Assume that $\liminf_k z_k > 0$ and $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. Then there exist $m > 0$ and

a positive integer K such that $m |\mu_k|^{u_k} < |\xi_k|^{u_k}$ for all $k \geq K$ and for each $t \in S$,

$$\sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty.$$

Thus for each $t \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,K)} \|\mu_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} &\leq \sum_{(k,K)} \frac{|\xi_k|^{u_k}}{m} \|s_k, t\|^{u_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{u})$ and hence

$$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}) \subset \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{u}).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.8. For any $\bar{\xi} = \langle \xi_k \rangle$, if $u_k \leq v_k$ for all but finitely many values of k , then

$$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}) \subset \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{v}).$$

Proof. Suppose $0 < u_k \leq v_k < \infty$ for all but finitely many values of k . Let $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle$

$\in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$. Then we have

$$\sum_{(k,1)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty, \text{ for each } t \in S.$$

This shows that there exists $K \geq 1$ such that $\|\xi_k s_k, t\| < 1$ for all $k \geq K$ and for each $t \in S$.

Thus $\|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{v_k} \leq \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k}$ for all $k \geq K$ and for each $t \in S$ and consequently

$$\sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{v_k} \leq \sum_{(k,K)} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} < \infty, \text{ for each } t \in S$$

and hence $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{v})$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorems 4.7 and 4.8.

Theorem 4.9. If $\liminf_k z_k > 0$; and $u_k \leq v_k$ for all but finitely many values of k , then

$$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u}) \subset \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{v}).$$

In the following example, we conclude that $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ may strictly be contained in

$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{v})$ in spite of the satisfaction of both conditions of Theorem 4.9.

Example 4.10.

Let $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2- normed space and consider a sequence $\bar{s} = \langle s_k \rangle$ defined by

$$s_k = k^{-2k} s, \text{ if } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{ where } s \in S \text{ and } s \neq \theta.$$

Further, let $u_k = k^{-1}$, if k is odd integer, $u_k = k^{-2}$, if k is even integer, $v_k = k^{-1}$ for all values of k ,

$$\xi_k = 3^k, \mu_k = 2^k \text{ for all values of } k.$$

Then, $z_k = \left| \frac{\xi_k}{\mu_k} \right|^{u_k} = \frac{3}{2}$ or $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/k}$ according as k is odd or even integers and hence $\liminf_k z_k > 0$.

Further, $\frac{v_k}{u_k} = 1$, if k is odd integers, $\frac{v_k}{u_k} = k$, if k is even integers. Therefore $0 < u_k \leq v_k < \infty$ for all k .

Hence both conditions of Theorem 4.9 are satisfied.

Now for each $t \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k,1)} \|\mu_k s_k, t\|^{v_k} &= \sum_{(k,1)} \|2^k k^{-2k} s, t\|^{1/k} = \sum_{(k,1)} 2 k^{-2} \|s, t\|^{1/k} \\ &\leq 2A [\|s, t\|] \sum_{(k,1)} k^{-2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\bar{s} \in \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{v})$. But on the other hand, let us choose $t \in S$ such that

$\|s, t\| = 1$. Then for each even integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_k s_k, t\|^{u_k} &= \|3^k k^{-2k} s, t\|^{1/k^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{k^2}\right)^{1/k} \|s, t\|^{1/k^2} > \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\bar{s} \notin \ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ and hence the

containment of $\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\xi}, \bar{u})$ in

$\ell((S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|), \bar{\mu}, \bar{v})$ is strict.

References

- [1] A. Wilansky, *Modern methods in topological vector spaces*, Mc Graw_Hill Book Co. Inc. New York (1978).
- [2] E. Savas, On some new sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function, *Hindawi Pub. Corp., Journal of Inequality and Application*, Vol. 2010,10.1155, (2010).
- [3] H. Gunawan and H. Mashadi, On finite dimensional 2-normed spaces, *Soochow J. Math.*, **27** (2001), 321–329.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, *Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces*, *London. J. Math. Soc.*, **2(1)** (1969), 316–322.
- [5] J.A. White and Y.J. Cho, Linear mappings on linear 2-normed spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **21(1)** (1984), 1–6.
- [6] J.K. Srivastava and N.P. Pahari, On Banach space valued sequence space $l_M(X, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}, L)$ defined by Orlicz function, *South East Asian J. Math. & Math. Sc.*, **10(1)**(2011), 39 – 49.
- [7] J.K. Srivastava and N.P. Pahari, On 2- normed space valued sequence space $l_M(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ defined by Orlicz function, *Proc. of Indian Soc. of Math. and Math. Sc.*, **6**(2011), 243-251.
- [8] J.K. Srivastava and N.P. Pahari, On 2- Banach space valued paranormed sequence space

- $c_0(X, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p})$ defined by Orlicz function, *Jour. of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences*, **12(3)** (2013), 317-336.
- [9] K. Iseki, *Mathematics on two normed spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 13(2), (1976).
- [10] M. Açıkgöz, A review on 2 – normed structures, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **1(4)** (2007), 187 – 191.
- [11] M. Basariv and S. Altundag , On generalized paranormed statistically convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function, *Handawi. Pub. Cor., J. of Inequality and Applications* (2009).
- [12] N.P. Pahari, On Banach space valued sequence space $l_\infty(X, M, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{p}, L)$ defined by Orlicz function , *Nepal Jour. of Science and Tech. , 12* (2011) , 252-259.
- [13] R. K. Tiwari and J. K. Srivastava, On certain Banach space valued function spaces- II, *Math. Forum*, **22**(2010), 1-14.
- [14] R.W. Freese and Y.J. Cho, *Geometry of linear 2-normed spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc. New York (2001).
- [15] R.W. Freese, Y.J. Cho and S.S. Kim, Strictly 2–convex linear 2–normed spaces; *J. Korean Math. Soc. , 29(2)* (1992), 391 – 400.
- [16] S.D. Parasar and B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Maths.*, **25(4)** (1994), 419–428.
- [17] S. GÄähler, 2 -metrische RÄaume und ihre topologische struktur , *Math. Nachr.*, **6**(1963), 115-148.
- [18] V.A. Khan, On a new sequence space defined by Orlicz functions, *Common. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank-series*, **57(2)** (2008), 25–33.
- [19] V.N. Bhardwaj and I. Bala, Banach space valued sequence space $\ell_M(X, p)$, *Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Maths.*, **41(5)** (2007), 617–626.