

Proof of Goldbach's conjecture

Boris Sklyar

23-2-28, Nelidovskaya st., Moscow, 125363, Russia
E-mail:-brs.sklr@mail.ru

Abstract

Basing on derived earlier “matrix definition” of prime numbers ^[1] it will be shown that: Any even natural number $N=2n$, $n=5,6,7,\dots$ can be presented as a sum of two prime numbers
 $N=2n= Pr_1+Pr_2$.

Employment of “matrix definition” of prime numbers in the course of proof of Goldbach's conjecture provides additional characteristic of primes making proof very simple.

Introduction

In the paper ^[1] “matrix definition” of prime numbers was formulated as follows: Natural numbers that are **not** contained in arrays

$$P1(i, j) = 6ij + i - j - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 30 & \dots \\ 0 & 23 & 34 & 45 & 56 & 67 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 53 & 70 & 87 & 104 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 95 & 118 & 141 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 149 & 178 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 215 & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i = 1,2,3,4 \dots; j \geq i$$

$$P2(i, j) = 6ij - i + j - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 12 & 19 & 26 & 33 & 40 & \dots \\ 0 & 23 & 36 & 49 & 62 & 75 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 53 & 72 & 91 & 110 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 95 & 120 & 145 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 149 & 180 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 215 & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i = 1,2,3,4 \dots; j \geq i$$

are indexes P of **all primes** in the sequence $S_1(P)=6P+5$.

Natural numbers that are **not** contained in arrays

$$P3(i, j) = 6ij - i - j - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 8 & 13 & 18 & 23 & 28 & \dots \\ 0 & 19 & 30 & 41 & 52 & 63 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 47 & 64 & 81 & 98 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 87 & 110 & 133 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 139 & 168 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 203 & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots; j \geq$$

$$P4(i, j) = 6ij + i + j - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 14 & 21 & 28 & 35 & 42 & \dots \\ 0 & 27 & 40 & 53 & 66 & 79 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 59 & 78 & 97 & 116 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 103 & 128 & 153 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 159 & 190 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 227 & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots; j \geq i$$

are indexes P of **all primes** in the sequence $S_2(P) = 6P + 7$.

Let us modify it; change indexes

$$i_{\text{new}} = i; j_{\text{new}} = j - i + 1; j = j_{\text{new}} + i - 1$$

we have (omitting notation “new”):

$$P1(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + (6i - 1)(j - 1); \quad P2(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + (6i + 1)(j - 1);$$

$$P3(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 - 2i + (6i - 1)(j - 1); \quad P4(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + 2i + (6i + 1)(j - 1);$$

“**Matrix definition**” of prime numbers can be presented in the following form:

Natural numbers which **do not appear** in any one of two arrays

$$P1(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + (6i - 1)(j - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 10 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 30 & \dots \\ 23 & 34 & 45 & 56 & 67 & 78 & \dots \\ 53 & 70 & 87 & 104 & 121 & 138 & \dots \\ 95 & 118 & 141 & 164 & 187 & 210 & \dots \\ 149 & 178 & 207 & 236 & 265 & 294 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$$

$$P2(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + (6i + 1)(j - 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 12 & 19 & 26 & 33 & 40 & \dots \\ 23 & 36 & 49 & 62 & 75 & 88 & \dots \\ 53 & 72 & 91 & 110 & 129 & 148 & \dots \\ 95 & 120 & 145 & 170 & 195 & 220 & \dots \\ 149 & 180 & 211 & 242 & 273 & 304 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \cdot & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$$

are **indexes P of all prime numbers** in the sequence $S_1(p) = 6p + 5$,

natural numbers which **do not appear** in any one of two arrays

$$P3(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 - 2i + (6i - 1)(j - 1)$$

$$1) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 8 & 13 & 18 & 23 & 28 & \dots \\ 19 & 30 & 41 & 52 & 63 & 74 & \dots \\ 47 & 64 & 81 & 98 & 115 & 132 & \dots \\ 87 & 110 & 133 & 156 & 179 & 202 & \dots \\ 139 & 168 & 197 & 226 & 255 & 284 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$$

$$P4(i, j) = 6i^2 - 1 + 2i + (6i + 1)(j - 1)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 14 & 21 & 28 & 35 & 42 & \dots \\ 27 & 40 & 53 & 66 & 79 & 92 & \dots \\ 59 & 78 & 97 & 116 & 135 & 154 & \dots \\ 103 & 128 & 153 & 178 & 203 & 228 & \dots \\ 159 & 190 & 221 & 252 & 283 & 314 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}; i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$$

are **indexes P of all prime numbers** in the sequence $S_1(p)=6p+7$.

Proof of Goldbach's conjecture[bs1][bs2]

It is well known that all prime numbers (≥ 5) belong to one of two sequences

$$S_1(p)=6p+5; \quad S_2(p)=6p+7; \quad p=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

So the sums of two prime numbers belong to one of three sequences

$$Q_1(p_e) = S_1(P_1) + S_1(P_2) = 6P_1 + 5 + 6P_2 + 5 = 6(P_1 + P_2) + 10 = 6p_e + 10 = 10, 16, 22, \dots$$

(a)

$$Q_2(p_e) = S_1(P_1) + S_2(P_2) = 6P_1 + 5 + 6P_2 + 7 = 6(P_1 + P_2) + 12 = 6p_e + 12 = 12, 18, 24 \dots$$

(b)

$$Q_3(p_e) = S_2(P_1) + S_2(P_2) = 6P_1 + 7 + 6P_2 + 7 = 6(P_1 + P_2) + 14 = 6p_e + 14 = 14, 20, 26, \dots, p=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

(c)

where p_e – index of even number $N_e=2n$, P_1, P_2 – indexes of prime numbers in the sequences $S_1(p)$ and $S_2(p)$. (Capital letters in the notations of indexes refer to prime numbers, line letters - to composite or unknown numbers).

Obviously, the statement (1) is correct if and only if any natural number can be presented as a sum of two indexes of prime numbers:

a) both belonging to the sequence $S_1(p)$, $Q_1(p) = S_1(p_e/2 + K) + S_1(p_e/2 - K)$,
(5)

or

b) one belonging to the sequence $S_1(p)$ and other – to $S_2(p)$,
 $Q_2(p) = S_1(p_e/2 + K) + S_2(p_e/2 - K)$ (6)

or

c) both belonging to the sequence $S_2(p)$, $Q_3(p) = S_2(p_e/2 + K) + S_2(p_e/2 - K)$,
 (7)

where k, K – additional index, starting from $-p_e/2$.

$$S(k) = S(p_e/2 + k), k = -p_e/2, -p_e/2 + 1, \dots, p_e/2 - 1, p_e/2 \tag{8}$$

Case a)

As an example let $N_e = 250$, $p_e = (250 - 10)/6 = 40 = P_1 + P_2$.

Wright down in line the row of indexes P of prime numbers of the sequence $S_1(P)$ (denote by corresponding numbers) and indexes p of composite numbers (denote by X)

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 8 9 X 11 X 13 14 X 16 17 18 X X 21 22 24 X X 27
 28 29 X...

Under this line wright down the same row in invert order:(A)

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 8 9 X 11 X 13 14 X 16 17 18 X X 21 22 X 24 X
 X ... 38 39
 + 39 38 37 X X X X 32 31 X 29 28 27 X X 24 X 22 21 XX 18 17 16 X 14
 ... 2 1

 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 = 40 40 40

In general for given natural number $N_e = 6p_e + 10$ we have:

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 ... $p_e/2 - 1$ $p_e/2$ $p_e/2 + 1$ $p_e/2 + 2$... $p_e/2 + k$... $p_e - 5$ $p_e - 4$ $p_e - 3$ $p_e - 2$ $p_e - 1$
 p
 + $p_e - 1$ $p_e - 2$ $p_e - 3$ $p_e/2 + 1$ $p_e/2$ $p_e/2 - 1$ $p_e/2 - 2$... $p_e/2 - k$... X 4 3 2 1 0

 = p_e ... p_e

In order to satisfy condition (5) at least one pair $P_1 = p_e/2 + K$ and $P_2 = p_e/2 - K$; $k = 1, 2, \dots, p_e/2$ must be the pair of indexes of prime numbers.

Prove by contradiction that there is always at least one such pair. Suppose that for all primes $S_1(p_e/2 + K)$ all members $S_1(p_e/2 - K)$ are composite. That means that all indexes $(p_e/2 - K)$ must appear in array $P_1(i, j)$ or in array $P_2(i, j)$ and **all** equations

$$6i^2 - 1 + (6i - 1)(j - 1) = p_e/2 - K \text{ or} \tag{9}$$

$$6i^2 - 1 + (6i + 1)(j - 1) = p_e/2 - K \tag{10}$$

have integer solutions.

From (9) we have

$$K = p_e/2 - (6i^2 - 1) - (6i - 1)(j - 1) \quad (11)$$

From (10) we have

$$K = p_e/2 - (6i^2 - 1) - (6i + 1)(j - 1) \quad (12)$$

Denote $N(u) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, p_e - 1, p_e\}$ - array of natural numbers, $N(u) = u$.

$PI(u) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 0, 6, 7, 8, 9, 0, 11, 0, 13, 14, 0, 16, 17, 18, 0, 0, 21, 22, 0, 24, 0, 0, 27, 28, 29, 0, \dots, p_e - 1, p_e\}$ - array of indexes of primes in $S1(P)$, $PI(u) = u$ if u - index of a prime, $u = 0$ if corresponding number is composite.

$PI2(u) = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10, 0, 12, 0, 0, 15, 0, 0, 0, 19, 20, 0, 0, 23, 0, 25, 26, 0, 0, 0, 30, \dots, p_e - 1, p_e\}$, $PI2(u) = u$ if number u presents in arrays $P1(i, j)$ or in array $P2(i, j)$, $PI2(u) = 0$, if corresponding number does not appear in array $P1(i, j)$ or in array $P2(i, j)$.

$$p_e/2 = \{ p_e/2, p_e/2, \dots, p_e/2 \} = \text{const}$$

We have:

$PI(u) = N(u) - PI2(u)$ (in accordance with matrix definition of primes).

$$PI(u) = p_e/2 + KI(u); \quad KI(u) = PI(u) - p_e/2 = N(u) - PI2(u) - p_e/2;$$

From the other hand (since we suppose that all numbers $(p_e/2 - K(u))$ are composite)

$$p_e/2 - K(u) = PI2(u); \quad K(u) = p_e/2 - PI2(u)$$

$$N(u) - PI2(u) - p_e/2 = p_e/2 - PI2(u);$$

$N = p_e/2$ - contradiction,

so all numbers $(p_e/2 - K)$ cannot be composite and there is at least one pair of indexes of primes $P_1 = p_e/2 + K$ and $P_2 = p_e/2 - K$.

For example, from (11) and (12) for $p_e = 40$ possible values of K are 1, 5, 8, 10, 15, but from

$$(A): K(u) = PI(u) - p_e/2 = 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 9 \dots (13)$$

For $p_e = 800$ possible values for K are:

0, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30...

But $K(u) = PI(u) - p_e/2 = 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 21, 23, \dots$

So, it is obvious that values of K , calculated in accordance with (11), (12) and (13) cannot coincide fully.

Case c)

The same as case a) but for sequence $S2(P)$.

Case b)

As an example let $N_e=252$, $p_e=(252-12)/6=40=P_1+P_2$.

Wright down in line the row of indexes P of prime numbers of the sequence $S_1(P)$ (denote by corresponding numbers) and indexes p of composite numbers (denote by X)

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 8 9 X 11 X 13 14 X 16 17 18 X X 21 22 24 X X 27
28 29 X...

Under this line wright down the row of indexes P of prime numbers of the sequence $S_2(P)$ in invert order:

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 8 9 X 11 X 13 14 X 16 17 18 X X 21 22 X 24 X
... 37 38 39

+ 39 X 37 36 X 34 X 32 31 X 29 X X 26 25 24 X 22 X 20 X X 17 16
15... X21

----- = 40 40 40 40
40 40 40 4040 40 40 40 40

In general for given natural number $N_e=6p_e+12$ we have:

0 1 2 3 4 X 6 7 ... $p_e/2-1$ $p_e/2$ $p_e/2+1$ $p_e/2+2$... $p_e/2+k$... p_e-5 p_e-4 p_e-3
 p_e-2 $p-1$ p

+ p_e-1 p_e-2 p_e-3 $p_e/2+1$ $p_e/2$ $p_e/2-1$ $p_e/2-2$... $p_e/2-k$... 5 4 X
2 1 0

= p_e ... p_e

We have:

$PI(u)=N(u)-PI2(u)$ (in accordance with matrix definition of primes).

$PI(u)= p_e/2+K(u); K(u)=PI(u)- p_e/2=N(u)-PI2(u)- p_e/2;$ (14)

From the other hand (since we suppose that all numbers $(p_e/2 -K(u))$ are composite)

$p_e/2 -K(u)=PI2(u); K(u)= p_e/2-PI2(u);$ (15)

$N(u)-PI2(u)- p_e/2= p_e/2-PI2(u);$

$N(u)= p_e/2$ – contradiction,

So, it is obvious that values of K, calculated in accordance with (14) and (15) cannot coincide fully and there is always at least one pair of indexes such that $S1(P1)+S2(P2)=Q2(p_e); p_e=P1+P2$.

Conclusion

Goldbach's conjecture has been proved.

C++ program for finding primes satisfying Goldbach's conjecture is presented in Attachment.

References

[1]]. <http://ijmcr.in/index.php/current-issue/86-title-matrix-sieve-new-algorithm-for-finding-prime-numbers>

Attachment 1

```
#include <cstdlib>
#include <iostream>
#include <math.h>
#include <ctime>
using namespace std;
main( )
{
/* PROOF OF GOLDBACH CONJECTURE*/
/*CALCULATING PRIMES, SUM OF TWO PRIMES EQUALS GIVEN EVEN
NUMBER N<10^18*/
/*N=Pr1+Pr2*/
unsigned long long int N=11122233345566; int nd=3000;
if (N<1000000) nd=300;
if (N<1000) nd=150;
unsigned long long int N1=N/2-nd; unsigned long long int N2 =N1+2*nd;
unsigned long long int p1=floor(N1/6); unsigned long long int p2=ceil( N2/6);
int r=84000; int R2[r]; int rm=p2-p1; unsigned long long int S2[r]; int r3, r4, v, k;
int q=84000; int R1[q] ; int qm=rm; unsigned long long int S1[q], Q1, Q2, Ne, Nd, Nd1,
Nd2; int q2, q1;
for (q=1;q<qm;q++)
R1[q] =1;
for (r=1;r<rm;r++)
R2[r] =1;
```

```

unsigned long long      int i, j, P1, P2, P3, P4, B, K;
        unsigned long long      int i2= sqrt( p2/6)+2;
long long int j1, j2;
        int l1=0;int l2=0;
float m1=(long double ) (N-10)/6-(N-10)/6;
float m2=(long double ) (N-12)/6-(N-12)/6;
float m3=(long double ) (N-14)/6-(N-14)/6;
        for ( i=1;i<i2;i++)
        { j2=(p2+i+1)/( 6*i+1)+1;j1=(p1+i+1)/( 6*i+1);
        B=5+5*( i-1); K=7+6*( i-1);
        if ( i>j1) j1=i;
        for(j=j1; j<j2;j++)
        {      P1=B+K*( j-1);
        if(( P1>p1)&&( P1<p2))
        { q1=P1-p1; R1[ q1] =0;
        } }
j2=(p2-i+1)/( 6*i-1)+1;j1=(p1-i+1)/( 6*i-1);
if (j1<1) j1=1;
        B=5+7*( i-1); K=5+6*( i-1);
        if ( i>j1-1) j1=i+1;
        for(j=j1; j<j2;j++)
        {      P2=B+K*( j-1);
        if(( P2>p1)&&( P2<p2))
        { q2=P2-p1; R1[ q2] =0;
        } }
j2=(p2+i+1)/( 6*i-1)+1;j1=(p1+i+1)/( 6*i-1);
B=3+5*( i-1); K=5+6*( i-1);
if ( i>j1) j1=i;

```



```

    for(j=j1; j<j2;j++)
    {
        P3=B+K*(j-1);
    if(( P3>p1)&&( P3<p2))
    { r3=P3-p1; R2[ r3]=0;
        } }
j2=(p2-i+1)/( 6*i+1)+1;j1=(p1-i+1)/( 6*i+1);
B=7+7*(i-1); K=7+6*(i-1);
    if ( i>j1) j1=i;
        for(j=j1; j<j2;j++)
    { P4=B+K*(j-1);
    if(( P4>p1)&&( P4<p2))
    { r4=P4-p1; R2[ r4] =0;
        } } }
for ( q=1;q<qm;q++) { S1[q] =R1[q]*((p1+q)*6+5); if (S1[q]%5==0) continue; l1=l1+1;}
for ( r=1;r<rm;r++) { S2[r] =R2[r]*((p1+r)*6+7);if (S2[r]%5==0) continue;l2=l2+1;}
if (m1==0){ cout<<"N belongs to the sequence N=6p+10; m1="<<m1<<" \n\n";
    for (v=1;v<1000;v++) {
        Q1=S1[v];
        for (k=1;k<1000;k++) {
            Q2=S1[k]; Ne=Q1+Q2;
            if (Ne==N){Nd=N; Nd1=Q1;Nd2=Q2;
                break;}}}}
    if (m2==0){ cout<<"N belongs to the sequence N=6p+12; m2="<<m2<<" \n \n";
    for (v=1;v<1000;v++) {
        Q1=S1[v];
        for (k=1;k<1000;k++) {
            Q2=S2[k]; Ne=Q1+Q2;
            if (Ne==N){Nd=N; Nd1=Q1;Nd2=Q2;

```

```

        break;}}}}
if (m3==0){ cout<<"N belongs to the sequence N=6p+14; m3="<<m3<<" \n \n";
    for (v=1;v<1000;v++) {
        Q1=S2[v];
        for (k=1;k<1000;k++) {
            Q2=S2[k]; Ne=Q1+Q2;
if (Ne==N){Nd=N; Nd1=Q1;Nd2=Q2;
            break;}}}}
cout<<" N=Pr1+Pr2="<<Nd<<" Pr1="<<Nd1<<" Pr2="<<Nd2<<" \n\n";
    cout<<"run time(ms)="; cout<<clock();
system("PAUSE");
return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}

```