



Multiplicative (Generalized)- (σ, τ) -Reverse Derivations in Prime Rings

M. Sri Kameswara Rao¹, K. Subbarayudu², A. Vidhyullatha³, C. Jaya Subba Reddy⁴

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupati-517502, A.P., India.

²Lecturer in Mathematics, Government Polytechnic, Simhadripuram, Andhra Pradesh, India.

³Department of Mathematics, SPW Degree & PG College TTD, Tirupati-517502, A.P., India.

⁴Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India.

INFO ARTICLE

ABSTRACT

Published Online :

19 October 2023

Corresponding author:

C. Jaya Subba Reddy

Let R be a prime ring, I be a non-zero left ideal of R and σ, τ be a anti-automorphisms of R . Suppose F and H are two multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation associated with the mappings d and h respectively, on R . In this paper, we proved the following identities in prime rings: If (i) $F(xy) + \sigma(xy) = 0$; (ii) $F(xy) + \sigma(yx) = 0$; (iii) $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) = 0$; (iv) $F(xy) = \sigma(x)oH(y)$; (v) $F(xy) = [\sigma(x), H(y)]$; for all $x, y \in I$, where σ and τ are anti automorphisms on R .

KEYWORDS : Prime ring, (σ, τ) -reverse derivation, Multiplicative (Generalized) reverse derivation and Multiplicative (Generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1991, the concept of derivation was extended to generalized derivation by Bresar [3]. In 1991, Daif [5] introduced the concept of multiplicative derivation. In 1997, Daif and Tammam EL-Sagid [6] extended the concept of multiplicative derivation to multiplicative generalized derivation. In 2013, Dhara and Ali [7] introduced the concept of multiplicative (generalized)-derivation. In 1957, the concept of reverse derivation was first time introduced by Herstein [8]. Further Bresar and Vukman [4] studied the reverse derivations. In 2015, Aboubakr and Gonzalez [1] studied the relationship between generalized reverse derivation and generalized derivation on an ideal in semi prime rings. In 2017, Tiwari et.al [11] defined multiplicative (generalized) reverse derivation. In 2011, the concepts of (θ, ϕ) -reverse derivation and generalized (θ, ϕ) -reverse derivation has been introduced by Anwar Khaleel Faraj in [2]. In 2019, Nadeem ur Rehman et.al [10] proved some results on a note on multiplicative (generalized)- (α, β) -reverse derivations in prime rings. In 2022, Jaya Subba Reddy et.al [9] proved some results on a note on multiplicative (generalized)- (α, β) -reverse derivations on left ideals in prime rings. In this paper, we proved some results on multiplicative (generalized) (σ, τ) -reverse derivations in prime rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper R denote an associative ring with center Z . Recall that a ring R is prime if $xRy = \{0\}$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. For any $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $xy - yx$ and the symbol (xoy) denotes the anti-commutator $xy + yx$. A mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called a multiplicative derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a reverse derivation if $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called a multiplicative reverse derivation if $d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a (σ, τ) -reverse derivation if $d(xy) = d(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(y)d(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called a multiplicative (σ, τ) -reverse derivation if $d(xy) = d(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(y)d(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized reverse derivation, if there exists a reverse derivation $d: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)- reverse derivation of R , if there exists a map $d: R \rightarrow R$ (neither necessarily additive nor derivation) such that $F(xy) = F(y)x + yd(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -derivation of R , if there

exists a map $d: R \rightarrow R$ (neither necessarily additive nor derivation) such that $F(xy) = F(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation of R , if there exists a map $d: R \rightarrow R$ (neither necessarily additive nor derivation) such that $F(xy) = F(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(y)d(x)$, for all $x, y \in R$. Throughout this paper, we shall make use of the basic commutator identities:

$$\begin{aligned} x\sigma(yz) &= (x\sigma y)z - y[x, z] = y(x\sigma z) + [x, y]z; \\ (xy)\sigma z &= x(y\sigma z) - [x, z]y = (x\sigma z)y + x[y, z]; \\ [x, yz] &= y[x, z] + [x, y]z; [xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]; \\ [xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} &= x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [\sigma(x), z]y. \end{aligned}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let R be a prime ring and I be a non-zero left ideal of R . Suppose F is a multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation on R associated with the map d on R . If $F(xy) + \sigma(xy) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then $F(x) = -\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in I$ and $\tau(I)d(I) = (0)$.

Proof: We have $F(xy) + \sigma(xy) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. (3.1)

Replacing x by zx in equation (3.1), we obtain $(F(xy) + \sigma(xy))\sigma(z) + \tau(y)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$.

Using equation (3.1), it reduces to $\tau(y)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$. (3.2)

Replacing x by xr , $r \in R$ in equation (3.2), we get $\tau(y)\tau(r)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$ and $r \in R$. (3.3)

Replacing r by $\tau^{-1}(rd(z))$, $r \in R$ in equation (3.3), we get $\tau(y)d(z)r\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$ and $r \in R$. $\tau(y)d(z)R\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$.

Thus, by primeness of R , we get either $\tau(I)d(I) = (0)$. (3.4)

Thus, equation (3.1) becomes $(F(y) + \sigma(y))\sigma(x) + \tau(y)d(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$.

Using equation (3.4), it reduces to $(F(y) + \sigma(y))\sigma(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. (3.5)

Replacing x by xr , $r \in R$ in equation (3.5), we get $(F(y) + \sigma(y))\sigma(r)\sigma(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $r \in R$.

Replacing r by $\sigma^{-1}(r)$ in the above equation, we get $(F(y) + \sigma(y))R\sigma(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$.

Using primeness of R , we get $F(x) = -\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in I$. (3.6)

Theorem 3.2: Let R be a prime ring and I be a non-zero left ideal of R . Suppose F is a multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation on R associated with the map d on R . If $F(xy) + \sigma(yx) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then R is commutative, $F(x) = -\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in I$ and $\tau(I)d(I) = (0)$.

Proof: We have $F(xy) + \sigma(yx) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. (3.7)

Replacing x by x^2 in equation (3.7), we obtain

$$F(xy)\sigma(x) + \tau(xy)d(x) + \sigma(x)\sigma(yx) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (3.8)$$

Replacing y by xy in equation (3.7), we get

$$F(xy)\sigma(x) + \tau(xy)d(x) + \sigma(x)\sigma(xy) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (3.9)$$

Subtracting equation (3.8) from equation (3.9), we get $\sigma(x)\sigma[x, y] = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. (3.10)

Replacing y by ry , $r \in R$ in equation (3.10), we get $\sigma(x)\sigma[x, y]\sigma(r) + \sigma(x)\sigma(y)\sigma[x, r] = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $r \in R$.

Using equation (3.10), it reduces to $\sigma(yx)\sigma[x, r] = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$ and $r \in R$.

Since I is nonzero ideal, so by primeness of R , we get $\sigma[x, r] = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $r \in R$. $[x, r] = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $r \in R$. (3.11)

Substituting x by tx , $t \in R$ in equation (3.11), we get $t[x, r] + [t, r]x = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $r, t \in R$.

Using equation (3.11), it reduces to $[t, r]x = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and $r, t \in R$.

Since I is nonzero ideal, so by primeness of R , we get

$$[t, r] = 0, \text{ for all } r, t \in R.$$

Thus R is commutative. (3.12)

Therefore $F(xy) + \sigma(yx) = 0$ becomes $F(xy) + \sigma(xy) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$.

Thus, in view of theorem 3.1, we get $F(x) = -\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in I$ and $\tau(I)d(I) = (0)$.

Theorem 3.3: Let R be a prime ring and I be a non-zero left ideal of R . Suppose F is a multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation on R associated with the map d on R . If $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then either $\sigma(I)[F(x), \sigma(x)] = (0)$ or $\tau(I)[F(x), \tau(x)] = (0)$, for all $x \in I$.

Proof: We have $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. (3.13)

Replacing x by zx in equation (3.13), we obtain

$$(F(xy) + F(y)F(x))\sigma(x) + \tau(xy)d(z) + F(y)\tau(x)d(z) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Using equation (3.13), it reduces to $\tau(xy)d(z) + F(y)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$. (3.14)

Replacing y by wy in equation (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(xwy)d(z) + F(y)\sigma(w)\tau(x)d(z) + \\ \tau(y)d(w)\tau(x)d(z) = 0, \forall x, y, z, w \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Replacing x by xw in equation (3.14), we get $\tau(xwy)d(z) + F(y)\tau(w)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z, w \in I$. (3.16)

Subtracting equation (3.16) from equation (3.15), we get $(F(wy) - F(y)\tau(w))\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z, w \in I$.

Replacing x by xr , $r \in R$ in the above equation, we get $(F(wy) - F(y)\tau(w))\tau(r)\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z, w \in I$.

$$(F(wy) - F(y)\tau(w))R\tau(x)d(z) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Using primeness of R , we get either

$$F(wy) - F(y)\tau(w) = 0 \text{ or } \tau(x)d(z) = 0$$

First case, we have $F(wy) - F(y)\tau(w) = 0$, for all $y, w \in I$.

$$(3.17)$$

Using equation (3.13), we have $F(xyz) = -F(z)F(xy) = -F(yz)F(x)$

$$F(z)F(xy) - F(yz)F(x) = 0, \text{ for all}$$

$$x, y, z \in I. \tag{3.18}$$

Using equation (3.17) in equation (3.18), we get

$$F(z)(F(y)\tau(x) - \tau(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Replacing z by wrz , $r \in R$ and using equation (3.17) in the above equation, we get

$$F(z)\tau(r)\tau(w)(F(y)\tau(x) - \tau(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

$$F(z)R\tau(w)(F(y)\tau(x) - \tau(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Since R is a prime ring, we get $\tau(I)[F(x), \tau(x)] = 0$, for all $x \in I$.

Second case, we have $\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all

$$x, z \in I. \tag{3.19}$$

Equation (3.18), we have $F(z)F(xy) - F(yz)F(x) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in I$.

$$F(z)(F(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(y)d(x)) - (F(z)\sigma(y) + \tau(z)d(y))F(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Using equation (3.19), it reduces to

$$F(z)(F(y)\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Replacing z by wrz , $r \in R$ and in the above equation, we get

$$(F(z)\sigma(wr) + \tau(wr)d(z))(F(y)\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I \text{ and } r \in R.$$

Using equation (3.19), it reduces to

$$F(z)\sigma(r)\sigma(w)(F(y)\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)F(x)) = 0.$$

$$F(z)R\sigma(w)(F(y)\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)F(x)) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Since R is a prime ring, we get $\sigma(I)[F(x), \sigma(x)] = 0$, for all $x \in I$.

Hence, we get the required result.

Theorem 3.4: Let R be a prime ring and I be a non-zero left ideal of R . Suppose F and H are two multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation on R associated with the maps d and h on R , respectively. If $F(xy) = \sigma(x)oH(y)$, for all $x, y \in I$, then either R is commutative or $\sigma(I)[\sigma(I), H(I)] = (0)$.

Proof: We have $F(xy) = \sigma(x)oH(y)$, for all $x, y \in I$.

$$(3.20)$$

Replacing x by zx in equation (20), we get

$$F(xy)\sigma(z) + \tau(xy)d(z) = (\sigma(x)oH(y))\sigma(z) + \sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)] \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Using equation (3.20), it reduces to

$$\tau(xy)d(z) = \sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \tag{3.21}$$

Replacing x by xw in equation (3.21), we get

$$\tau(y)\tau(w)\tau(x)d(z) = \sigma(w)\sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)], \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I. \tag{3.22}$$

Left multiplying equation (3.21) by $\sigma(w)$, we get

$$\sigma(w)\tau(y)\tau(x)d(z) = \sigma(w)\sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)], \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I. \tag{3.23}$$

Subtracting equation (3.23) from equation (3.22), we get

$$(\tau(y)\tau(w) - \sigma(w)\tau(y))\tau(x)d(z) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Replacing x by xr , $r \in R$ in the above equation, we get

$$(\tau(y)\tau(w) - \sigma(w)\tau(y))R\tau(x)d(z) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Since R is a prime, we get either $\tau(y)\tau(w) - \sigma(w)\tau(y) = 0$ or $\tau(x)d(z) = 0$

First case, we have $\tau(y)\tau(x) - \sigma(x)\tau(y) = 0$, for all

$$x, y \in I. \tag{3.24}$$

Substituting x by xr , $r \in R$ in equation (3.24), we get

$$\tau(y)\tau(r)\tau(x) - \sigma(r)\sigma(x)\tau(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{3.25}$$

Left multiplying equation (3.21) by $\sigma(r)$, we get

$$\sigma(r)\tau(y)\tau(x) - \sigma(r)\sigma(x)\tau(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{3.26}$$

Subtracting equation (3.26) from equation (3.25), we get

$$(\tau(y)\tau(r) - \sigma(r)\tau(y))\tau(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I \text{ and } r \in R.$$

Replacing x by xs , $s \in R$ in the above equation, we get

$$(\tau(y)\tau(r) - \sigma(r)\tau(y))R\tau(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I \text{ and } r \in R.$$

Since I is nonzero ideal, so by primeness of R , we get

$$[\tau(y), r]_{\tau, \sigma} = 0, \text{ for all } y \in I \text{ and } r \in R. \tag{3.27}$$

Replacing y by yt , $t \in R$ in equation (3.27), we get

$$\tau(r)[\tau(y), r]_{\tau, \sigma} + [\tau(t), \tau(r)]\tau(y) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in I \text{ and } r, t \in R.$$

Using equation (3.27), it reduces to $[\tau(t), \tau(r)]\tau(y) = 0$, for all $y \in I$ and $r, t \in R$.

Substituting y by ys , $s \in R$ in the above equation, we get $[\tau(t), \tau(r)]R\tau(y) = 0$, for all $y \in I$ and $r, t \in R$. Since I is nonzero ideal, so by primeness of R , we get

$$[\tau(t), \tau(r)] = 0, \text{ for all } r, t \in R.$$

$[t, r] = 0$, for all $r, t \in R$. Therefore R is a commutative.

Second case, we have $\tau(x)d(z) = 0$, for all $x, z \in I$.

$$(3.28)$$

Substituting equation (3.28) in equation (3.21), we get

$$\sigma(I)[\sigma(I), H(I)] = (0).$$

Theorem 3.5: Let R be a prime ring and I be a non-zero left ideal of R . Suppose F and H are two multiplicative (generalized)- (σ, τ) -reverse derivation on R associated with the maps d and h on R , respectively. If $F(xy) = [\sigma(x), H(y)]$, for all $x, y \in I$, then either R is commutative or $\sigma(I)[\sigma(I), H(I)] = (0)$.

Proof: We have $F(xy) = [\sigma(x), H(y)]$, for all $x, y \in I$.

$$(3.29)$$

Replacing x by zx in equation (3.29), we get

$$F(xy)\sigma(z) + \tau(xy)d(z) = [\sigma(x), H(y)]\sigma(z) + \sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I.$$

Using equation (3.29), it reduces to

$$\tau(xy)d(z) = \sigma(x)[\sigma(z), H(y)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \tag{3.30}$$

The equation (3.30) is same as the equation (3.21) in theorem 3.4, then proceeding in the same way as in theorem 3.4, we get the required result.

REFERENCES

1. Aboubakr, A. and Gonzalez, S.: “Generalized reverse derivation on semi prime rings”, Siberian mathematical journal, 56(2) (2015), 199-205.
2. Anwar Khaleel Faraj.: “On Generalized (θ, φ) -Reverse Derivations of Prime rings”, Iraqi Journal of Science, 52(2) (2011), 218-224.
3. Bresar, M.: “On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized Derivations”, Glasgow Math. J., 33(1) (1991), 89-93.
4. Bresar, M. and Vukman, J.: “On some additive mappings in rings with involution”, Aequationes math., 38 (1989), 178-185.
5. Daif, M.N.: “When is a multiplicative derivation additive”, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 14(3) (1991), 615-618.
6. Daif, M.N., and Tammam El-Sayiad, M.S.: “Multiplicative generalized derivations which are additive”, East-west J. Math., 9(1) (1997), 31-37.
7. Dhara, B. and Ali, S.: “On multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semiprime rings”, Aequat. Math., 86(1-2) (2013), 65-79.
8. Herstein, I.N.: “Jordan derivations of prime rings”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 1104-1110.
9. Jaya Subba Reddy, C., Subbarayudu, N., and Venkata Sai Raghavendra Reddy, C.: “A Note on Multiplicative (Generalized)- (α, β) -Reverse Derivations on Left Ideals in Prime Rings”, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 18(2) (2022), 425-431.
10. Rehman, N., Al-Omary, R.M., and Muthana, N.H.: “A Note on Multiplicative (Generalized)- (α, β) -Derivations in Prime Rings”, Annales Mathematicae Silesianae., 33 (2019), 266-275.
11. Tiwari, S.K., Sharma, R.K. and Dhara, B.: “Some theorems of commutativity on semiprime rings with mappings”, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 42 (2018), 579-592.