



EXISTENCE AND CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE RESULTS FOR VOLTERRA-FREDHOLM INCLUSION

Shakir M. Atshan^{1*}, Ahmed A. Hamoud²

¹Thi-Qar Directorates of Education, Department of Mathematics, Ministry of Education, Iraq.

²Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz P.O. Box 6803, Yemen.

Abstract. The solvability of a state-dependent Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential inclusion (V-FIDI) with a nonlocal condition is explored, along with the uniqueness and existence of its solutions. We first prove the uniqueness and existence properties of the solution under certain assumptions about the given data. Lastly, a sample that highlights the primary finding is provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since many mathematical models are used to formulate various phenomena, such as mechanics, physics, chemical kinetics, astronomy, biology, economics, potential theory, and electrostatics, which are modelled using integro-differential equations, differential equations and integral equations have recently become extremely important in several branches of science and engineering [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 25, 28].

In mathematical physics, nonlocal issues are those in which the values of the desired function at different locations along the boundary are connected, in contrast to typical boundary value requirements. In the differential and integral equations with diverging arguments found in the present literature, the argument's deviation often only affects the time itself, as seen in [1, 2, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24]. Alternatively, there is another instance, both in theory and in practise, where the deviating arguments rely on the time φ as well as the state variable Φ . Recently, a number of papers have been published, for instance [3, 4, 5, 26, 29], that focus on these differential equations.

The differential equation's unique solution was examined in the earliest studies of this family of functional equations with self-reference, by Eder [6].

$$\Psi'(\varphi) = \Psi(\Psi(\varphi)), \quad \Psi(0) = \Psi_0, \quad \varphi \in \eta \subset \mathbb{R}$$

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A12, 47G20, 47H10.

⁰Keywords: Boundary value problem (BVP), Nonlocal condition, Fixed point approach, V-FIDI.

Wang [27] investigated the equation's maximal strong solution as well as its strong solution.

$$\Psi'(\varphi) = B(\Psi(\Psi(\varphi)))$$

where $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is monotone and continuous and $B(0) = 0$.

Buica [3] investigated the functional differential equation's continuous dependence and existence of solutions on Ψ_0 :

$$\Psi'(\varphi) = B(\varphi, \Psi(\Psi(\varphi))), \quad \Psi(\varphi_0) = \Psi_0, \quad \varphi \in [a, d]$$

where $\varphi_0, \Psi_0 \in [a, d]$ and $B \in C([a, d], [a, d])$.

The V-FIDI with self-dependence on a nonlinear operator is examined in this work.

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\varphi} \in \Psi \left(\varphi, \int_0^{\Omega(\varphi)} \Phi \xi(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))d\hbar, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))d\hbar \right) \text{ a.e. } \varphi \in (0, \eta] \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) = \Phi_0, \quad \Delta_\gamma > 0, \quad \rho_\gamma \in (0, \eta). \quad (1.2)$$

We investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution $\Phi \in AC[0, \eta]$ and demonstrate the continuous dependence on Φ_0 and ξ .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Take into account these presumptions:

- (a) $\Psi(\varphi, \Phi)$ be nonempty, closed and convex $\forall(\varphi, \Phi) \in [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) $\Psi(\varphi, \Phi)$ be measurable in $\varphi \in [0, \eta]$ for every $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) $\Psi(\varphi, \Phi)$ be semi upper continuous in Φ for every $\varphi \in [0, \eta]$.
- (d) There exist a measurable bounded function $c : [0, \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and constant $\Upsilon > 0$, such that

$$\|\Psi(\varphi, \Phi)\| = \sup\{|\mathfrak{S}| : \mathfrak{S} \in \Psi(\varphi, \Phi)\} \leq |c(\varphi)| + \Upsilon|\Phi|, \quad |c(\varphi)| \leq M$$

We may infer that there exists a $\mathfrak{S} \in \Psi(\varphi, \Phi)$ such that the following is met based on the assumptions (a)–(d):

(A1). $\mathfrak{S} : [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfils the Caratheodory requirement:

- For each $\varphi \in [0, \eta]$, $\mathfrak{S}(\varphi, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous;
- For each $\theta, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{S}(\cdot, \theta, \theta_1)$ is measurable;
- There exist a measurable bounded function $c(\varphi)$ and a constant $\Upsilon, \Upsilon_1 > 0$, such that

$$|\mathfrak{S}(\varphi, \theta, \theta_1)| \leq |c(\varphi)| + \Upsilon|\theta| + \Upsilon_1|\theta_1|, \quad |c(\varphi)| \leq M,$$

and the functional \mathfrak{S} satisfies the Volterra-Fredholm equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d\Phi}{d\wp} \tag{2.1} \\ &= \mathfrak{S} \left(\wp, \int_0^{\Omega(\wp)} \Phi \xi(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))d\hbar, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))d\hbar \right) \text{ a.e. } \wp \in (0, \eta], \end{aligned}$$

(A2). $\xi, \vartheta : [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ fulfils the Caratheodory requirement:

- For each $\wp \in [0, \eta], \xi(\wp, \cdot), \vartheta(\wp, \cdot)$ be continuous;
- For each $\wp \in \mathbb{R}, \xi(\cdot, \Phi), \vartheta(\cdot, \Phi)$ be measurable;
- $|\xi(\wp, \Phi)| \leq 1, |\vartheta(\wp, \Phi)| \leq 1$.

(A3). $\Omega : [0, \eta] \rightarrow [0, \eta]$ is nondecreasing continuous, $\Omega(\wp) \leq \wp, \wp \in [0, \eta]$.

(A4). $(1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_\gamma) (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta < 1$.

Remark 2.1. We may infer from (a) and (1.1) that each solution to (1.1) also solves (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. *If (A1)-(A4) satisfies, then the system (1.1)-(1.2) and the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\wp) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \tag{2.2} \\ &+ \int_0^\wp \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \quad \text{for } \wp \in [0, \eta] \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent.

Proof. Let the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) exists. Integrating (2.1) from 0 to \wp , we have

$$\Phi(\wp) = \Phi(0) + \int_0^\wp \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \tag{2.3}$$

Using (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) \\ &= \Phi(0) \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \end{aligned}$$

By $\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) = \Phi_0 - \Phi(0)$, we get

$$\Phi_0 - \Phi(0) = \Phi(0) \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \tag{2.4} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3)-(2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \\ &\left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ &+ \int_0^{\varphi} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that $\Phi \in C[0, \eta]$ is a solution of (2.2). Now differentiate (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi}{d\varphi} &= \frac{d}{d\varphi} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \right. \\ &\left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ &\left. + \int_0^{\varphi} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right\} \\ &= \mathfrak{S} \left(\varphi, \int_0^{\Omega(\varphi)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\rho_\gamma) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ &+ \int_0^{\rho_\gamma} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \\ &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \\ &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \right) \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \Phi(\Omega(\rho_\gamma)) = \Phi_0$$

The proof is finished now.

3. RESULTS

Hare, we prove that there is at least one solution to system (1.1)-(1.2) using Schauder’s argument.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the hypotheses (A1)-(A4) be hold, then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution $\Phi \in AC[0, \eta]$.*

Proof. We first establish P_L , create the operator A related to Eq. (2.2) by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A\Phi(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \\
 &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\
 &+ \int_0^{\varphi} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now $P_L = \{\Phi \in C[0, \eta] : |\Phi(\varphi) - \Phi(\hbar)| \leq L|\varphi - \hbar|, \forall \varphi, \hbar \in [0, \eta]\}$, where

$$L = \frac{(\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) |\Phi_0| + \left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_{\gamma}\right) M}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_{\gamma}\right) - \left(1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}\right) (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta}$$

Hence, we get for $\Phi \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |A\Phi(\varphi)| &= \left| \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \right. \\
 &\times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\
 &+ \left. \int_0^{\varphi} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) d\hbar \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[\left| \Phi_0 \right| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \right. \\
 &\times \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \left. \right] \\
 &+ \int_0^{\varphi} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar,
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A\Phi(\varphi)| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} (|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| \right. \\
 & \left. + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| \right) d\hbar \\
 & + \int_0^{\wp} \left(|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| \right) d\hbar \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} (|c(\hbar)| \right. \\
 & + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \\
 & \left. + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \\
 & + \int_0^{\wp} (|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \\
 & + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)|) d\hbar. \tag{3.1} \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} (|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon L \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \right. \\
 & \left. + \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \\
 & + \int_0^{\wp} (|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon L \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \\
 & + \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)|) d\hbar \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} |\Phi_0| + \frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta |\Phi(0)|) \\
 & + \eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta |\Phi(0)| \\
 = & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} |\Phi_0| + \left(\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_{\gamma}} + 1 \right) (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta |\Phi(0)|).
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Phi(0)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Phi(0)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} (|c(\hbar)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \Big] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left(|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon L \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon |\Phi(0)| \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta + \Upsilon_1 |\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left[|\Phi_0| + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta |\Phi(0)|) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\Phi(0)| \leq \frac{|\Phi_0| + (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) L \eta^2) \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma} \right) - (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \eta \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}} \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1)-(3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A\Phi(\wp)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} |\Phi_0| + \left(\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} + 1 \right) \\
 & \quad \times (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta|\Phi(0)|) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} |\Phi_0| + \left(\frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} + 1 \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta \frac{|\Phi_0| + (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta^2) \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma\right) - (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} \right) \\
 & = \frac{|\Phi_0| + (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta^2) \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma\right) - (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} + L\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in (0, \eta]$ such that $|\wp_2 - \wp_1| < \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A\Phi(\wp_2) - A\Phi(\wp_1)| \\
 & \leq \int_{\wp_1}^{\wp_2} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \\
 & \leq \int_{\wp_1}^{\wp_2} \left(|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon|\Phi(0)| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \Upsilon_1 \left| \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))d\theta - \Phi(0) \right| + \Upsilon_1|\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \tag{3.3} \\
 & \leq \int_{\wp_1}^{\wp_2} \left(|c(\hbar)| + \Upsilon L \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))|d\theta + \Upsilon|\Phi(0)| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^\eta |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))|d\theta + \Upsilon_1|\Phi(0)| \right) d\hbar \\
 & \leq (\wp_2 - \wp_1) M + (\wp_2 - \wp_1) (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta + (\wp_2 - \wp_1) (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)|\Phi(0)| \\
 & = (\wp_2 - \wp_1) (M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)|\Phi(0)|).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2)-(3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A\Phi(\wp_2) - A\Phi(\wp_1)| \\
 & \leq (\wp_2 - \wp_1) (M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta \\
 & \quad + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) \left(\frac{|\Phi_0| + (\eta M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta^2) \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma\right) - (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_\gamma} \right))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (\wp_2 - \wp_1) \frac{(\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1) |\Phi_0| + \left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_\gamma\right) (M + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)L\eta)}{\left(1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_\gamma\right) - (\Upsilon + \Upsilon_1)\eta \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\mathcal{K}} \Delta_\gamma} = (\wp_2 - \wp_1) L.$$

This shows that $A : P_L \rightarrow P_L$; the class of functions $\{A\Phi\}$ is uniformly equi-continuous and bounded in P_L .

Let $\Phi_n \in P_L, \Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi (n \rightarrow \infty)$, then from hypotheses **(A1)**-**(A2)**, we get $\mathfrak{S}(\wp, \Phi_n(\wp), \Phi_n(\wp)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\wp, \Phi(\wp), \Phi(\wp)), \xi(\wp, \Phi_n(\wp)) \rightarrow \xi(\wp, \Phi(\wp))$ and $\vartheta(\wp, \Phi_n(\wp)) \rightarrow \vartheta(\wp, \Phi(\wp))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A\Phi_n(\wp) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta \right) \, d\hbar \Big] \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^\wp \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta \right) \, d\hbar \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \\ & \leq L \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) - \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| \, d\theta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \\
 & \quad + \left| \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta - \int_0^\eta \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) \, d\theta \right| \tag{3.6} \\
 & \leq L \int_0^\eta |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) - \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| \, d\theta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
 & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) and The dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue [23], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A\Phi_n(\varphi) \\
 & = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma} \\
 & \quad \times \left[\Phi_0 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_\gamma \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_\gamma)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta \right) \, d\hbar \right] \\
 & \quad + \int_0^\varphi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi_n \xi(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta, \int_0^\eta \Phi_n \vartheta(\theta, \Phi_n(\theta)) \, d\theta \right) \, d\hbar \\
 & = A\Phi(\varphi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $A\Phi_n \rightarrow A\Phi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the operator A is continuous.

Therefore, by the Schauder's theorem [23], for Eq. (2.2), there exists at least one solution $\Phi \in C[0, \eta]$; consequently, for the system (1.1)-(1.2), there exists at least one solution $\Phi \in AC[0, \eta]$.

Remark 3.2. We may infer from these hypotheses that there is a function $\mathfrak{S} \in \Psi(\varphi, \Phi, \Lambda)$ such that,

(B1). $\mathfrak{S} : [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in φ for any $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|\mathfrak{S}(\varphi, \Phi, \Lambda) - \mathfrak{S}(\varphi, M, N)| \leq \Upsilon|\Phi - M| + \Upsilon^*|\Lambda - N|.$$

(B2). $\xi, \vartheta : [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable in $\varphi, \forall \Phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies the Lipschitz conditions

$$|\xi(\varphi, \Phi) - \xi(\varphi, q)| \leq \Upsilon_1|\Phi - q|, \quad |\vartheta(\varphi, \Phi) - \vartheta(\varphi, q)| \leq \Upsilon_2|\Phi - q|$$

(B3).

$$\left(\frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \right) < 1.$$

Theorem 3.3. *If (B1)-(B3) hold, then the solution of system (1.1)-(1.2) is unique.*

Proof. Let ϖ, Φ be two the solutions of system (1.1)-(1.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varpi(\wp) - \Phi(\wp)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\wp, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \mathfrak{S} \left(\wp, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^{\wp} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varpi(\wp) - \Phi(\wp)| \\ & \leq \frac{\Upsilon}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\ & \quad + \frac{\Upsilon^*}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\ & \quad + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\ & \quad + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\varpi(\wp) - \Phi(\wp)| \\
 \leq & \frac{\Upsilon}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \frac{\Upsilon}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \frac{\Upsilon^*}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \frac{\Upsilon^*}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \varpi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \varpi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar
 \end{aligned}$$

which diminishes to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\varpi(\wp) - \Phi(\wp)| \\
 \leq & \frac{\Upsilon L}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) - \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \right) \\
 & + \frac{\Upsilon^* L}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) - \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \right) \\
 & + \Upsilon L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) - \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \\
 & + \Upsilon^* L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \varpi(\theta)) - \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon^* \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\varpi(\wp) - \Phi(\wp)| \\
 & \leq \frac{\Upsilon L}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\Upsilon_1 \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\Omega(h)} |\varpi(\theta) - \Phi(\theta)| d\theta dh + \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\Upsilon^* L}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\Upsilon_2 \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\eta} |\varpi(\theta) - \Phi(\theta)| d\theta dh + \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \right) \\
 & \quad + \Upsilon \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\Omega(h)} |\varpi(\theta) - \Phi(\theta)| d\theta dh + \Upsilon \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \\
 & \quad + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2 L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\eta} |\varpi(\theta) - \Phi(\theta)| d\theta dh + \Upsilon^* \eta \|\varpi - \Phi\| \\
 & \leq \frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} (\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 L \eta^2 + \Upsilon \eta) \|\varpi - \Phi\| + (\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 L \eta^2 + \Upsilon \eta) \|\varpi - \Phi\| \\
 & \quad + \frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} (\Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2 L \eta^2 + \Upsilon^* \eta) \|\varpi - \Phi\| + (\Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2 L \eta^2 + \Upsilon^* \eta) \|\varpi - \Phi\| \\
 & = \frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \|\varpi - \Phi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \right) \right) \|\varpi - \Phi\| \leq 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) < 1,$$

which implies $\Phi(\wp) = \varpi(\wp)$ and the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) is unique.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (A4), (B1)-(B3) hold, then the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) depends continuously on Φ_0 .*

Proof. Let Φ^* be a solution of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\varrho) \\ = & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \\ & \times \left[\Phi_0^* - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar \right] \\ & + \int_0^{\varrho} \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) d\hbar, \end{aligned}$$

such that $|\Phi_0 - \Phi_0^*| < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi(\varrho) - \Phi^*(\varrho)| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} |\Phi_0 - \Phi_0^*| + \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\varrho, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \mathfrak{S} \left(\varrho, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \right) \\ & + \int_0^{\varrho} \left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \mathfrak{S} \left(\hbar, \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right) \right| d\hbar \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi(\varrho) - \Phi^*(\varrho)| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \delta \\ & + \Upsilon \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\ & + \Upsilon^* \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Phi(\wp) - \Phi^*(\wp)| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \delta \\
 & + \Upsilon \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\Omega(h)} \Phi^* \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar \\
 & + \Upsilon^* \int_0^{\wp} \left| \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta - \int_0^{\eta} \Phi^* \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta)) d\theta \right| d\hbar
 \end{aligned}$$

which diminishes to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Phi(\wp) - \Phi^*(\wp)| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \delta \\
 & + \Upsilon L \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\Omega(h)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) - \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \Upsilon^* L \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) - \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \right) \\
 &+ \Upsilon L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\xi(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) - \xi(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \\
 &+ \Upsilon^* L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\eta} |\vartheta(\theta, \Phi(\theta)) - \vartheta(\theta, \Phi^*(\theta))| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon^* \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\Phi(\wp) - \Phi^*(\wp)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \delta \\
 &+ \Upsilon L \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\Upsilon_1 \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\Phi(\theta) - \Phi^*(\theta)| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \right) \\
 &+ \Upsilon^* L \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma} \left(\Upsilon_2 \int_0^{\Omega(\rho_{\gamma})} \int_0^{\eta} |\Phi(\theta) - \Phi^*(\theta)| d\theta d\hbar + \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \right) \\
 &+ \Upsilon \Upsilon_1 L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\Omega(\hbar)} |\Phi(\theta) - \Phi^*(\theta)| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \\
 &+ \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2 L \int_0^{\wp} \int_0^{\eta} |\Phi(\theta) - \Phi^*(\theta)| d\theta d\hbar + \Upsilon^* \eta \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}} + \frac{\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \\
 &+ ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \\
 &= \frac{\delta}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}} + \frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \|\Phi - \Phi^*\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\Phi - \Phi^*\| \leq \frac{\frac{\delta}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^k \Delta_{\gamma}}}{1 - \left(\frac{1 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^K \Delta_{\gamma}}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \Delta_{\gamma}} ((\Upsilon \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon_2) L \eta^2 + (\Upsilon + \Upsilon^*) \eta) \right)} = \epsilon.$$

Then the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) depends continuously on Φ_0 .

4. APPLICATIONS

Example 1. Consider the V-FIDE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi}{d\wp} &= \frac{1}{3}\wp^3 + \frac{1}{\wp^2 + 5} \int_0^{\beta\wp} \frac{\Phi \cos^2 \Phi}{1 + e^{\Phi(h)}} d\hbar \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\wp + 16}} \int_0^1 \frac{\Phi \sin^2(\Phi)}{1 + \hbar^2} d\hbar, \quad \wp, \beta \in (0, 1], \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\Phi(0) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4} \Phi \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \right) = 1 \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(\wp) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \gamma^4} \left[1 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\Theta} \gamma^6 \int_0^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{3}s^3 + \frac{1}{s^2 + 5} \int_0^{\beta s} \frac{\Phi \cos^2 \Phi}{1 + e^{\Phi(h)}} d\hbar + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s + 16}} \int_0^1 \frac{\Phi \sin^2(\Phi)}{1 + \hbar^2} d\hbar \right) ds \Big] \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\wp} \left(\frac{1}{3}s^3 + \frac{1}{s^2 + 5} \int_0^{\beta s} \frac{\Phi \cos^2 \Phi}{1 + e^{\Phi(h)}} d\hbar + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s + 16}} \int_0^1 \frac{\Phi \sin^2(\Phi)}{1 + \hbar^2} d\hbar \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{S} \left(\wp, \int_0^{\Omega(\wp)} \Phi \xi(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar)) d\hbar, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar)) d\hbar \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\wp^3 + \frac{1}{\wp^2 + 5} \int_0^{\beta\wp} \frac{\Phi \cos^2 \Phi}{1 + e^{\Phi(h)}} d\hbar + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\wp + 16}} \int_0^1 \frac{\Phi \sin^2(\Phi)}{1 + \hbar^2} d\hbar. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \mathfrak{S} \left(\wp, \int_0^{\Omega(\wp)} \Phi \xi(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar)) d\hbar, \int_0^{\eta} \Phi \vartheta(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar)) d\hbar \right) \right| \leq \frac{\wp^3}{3} + \frac{\Phi}{5} + \frac{\Phi}{4},$$

and also

$$|\xi(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))| \leq 1, \quad |\vartheta(\hbar, \Phi(\hbar))| \leq 1.$$

We can see that the hypotheses **(A1)**-**(A4)** of Theorem 3.1 are hold with $|c(\wp)| = \left| \frac{1}{3}\wp^3 \right| \leq \frac{1}{3}$ is measurable bounded, $\Upsilon = \frac{1}{5}$, $\Upsilon^* = \frac{1}{4}$, $L = \left(1 + 2\frac{\pi^4}{1035} \right) \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \simeq 0.5347$ and the series $\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^4}$ is convergent. Then, by applying to Theorem 3.1, the given system (4.1)-(4.2) has a solution given by (4.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bacotiu, C. Volterra-fredholm nonlinear systems with modified argument via weakly picard operators theory. *Carpathian J. Math.* 2008, 24, 1-9.
- [2] Benchohra, M.; Darwish, M. A. On unique solvability of quadratic integral equations with linear modification of the argument. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 2009, 10, 3-10.
- [3] Buica, A. Existence and continuous dependence of solutions of some functional-differential equations. *Semin. Fixed Point Theory A Publ. Semin. Fixed Point Theory-Cluj-Napoca* 1995 , 3, 1-14.
- [4] Berinde, V. Existence and approximation of solutions of some first order iterative differential equations. *Miskolc Math. Notes* 2010, 11, 13-26.
- [5] El-Sayed, A.M.A.; El-Owaidy, H.; Ahmed, R.G. Solvability of a boundary value problem of self-reference functional differential equation with infinite point and integral conditions. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2020, 21, 296-308.
- [6] Eder, E. The functional differential equation $x'(\varphi) = x(x(\varphi))$. *J. Differ. Equ.* 1984, 54, 390-400.
- [7] El-Sayed, A.M.A.; Ahmed, R.G. Infinite point and Riemann-Stieltjes integral conditions for an integro-differential equation, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* 2019, 24, 733-754.
- [8] El-Sayed, A.M.A.; Ahmed, R.G. Solvability of a coupled system of functional integro-differential equations with infinite point and Riemann-Stieltjes integral conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2020, 370, 124918.
- [9] El-Sayed, A.M.A.; Ahmed, R.G. Existence of Solutions for a Functional Integro-Differential Equation with Infinite Point and Integral Conditions. *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.* 2019, 5 , 108.
- [10] El-Sayed, A.M.A.; Ahmed, R.G. Solvability of the functional integro-differential equation with self-reference and state-dependence. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 2020, 13, 1-8.
- [11] Hamoud, Ahmed A., and Kirtiwant P. Ghadle, Modified Adomian decomposition method for solving fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integral equation, *The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society* (2018), 53-69.
- [12] Hamoud, Ahmed, and Kirtiwant Ghadle, The approximate solutions of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations by using analytical techniques, *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.* 7, no. 25 (2018), 41-58.
- [13] Hamoud, Ahmed A., and Kirtiwant P. Ghadle, Modified Laplace decomposition method for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Journal of Mathematical Modeling* 6, no. 1 (2018), 91-104.
- [14] Hamoud, Ahmed Abdullah, Kirtiwant Ghadle, and Shakir Atshan, The approximate solutions of fractional integro-differential equations by using modified Adomian decomposition method, *Khayyam Journal of Mathematics* 5, no. 1 (2019), 21-39.
- [15] Hamoud, Ahmed A., A. Azeez, and K. Ghadle, A study of some iterative methods for solving fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science* 11, no. 3 (2018): 1228-1235.
- [16] Hamoud, Ahmed A., and K. Ghadle, Homotopy analysis method for the first order fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science* 11, no. 3 (2018), 857-867.
- [17] Hamoud, Ahmed A., and K. Ghadle, Homotopy analysis method for the first order fuzzy Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science* 11, no. 3 (2018), 857-867.

- [18] Hamoud, A.; Bani Issa, M.SH.; Ghadle, K. Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 23(4) (2018), 797-805.
- [19] Hamoud, A.; Khandagale, A.; Shah, R.; Ghadle, K. Some new results on Hadamard neutral fractional nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity*, 12(4) (2023) 893-903.
- [20] Hale, J.K. *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1977.
- [21] Issa, M. Bani, A. Hamoud, and K. Ghadle, Numerical solutions of fuzzy integro-differential equations of the second kind, *Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 23, no. 1 (2021), 67-74.
- [22] Ivaz, K.; Alasadi, I.; Hamoud, A. On the Hilfer fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro differential equations, *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 52(2) (2022), 426-431.
- [23] Kolomogorov, A.N.; Fomin, S.V.; Kirk, W.A. *Introductory Real Analysis*; Dover Publication Inc.: Mineola, NY, USA, 1975.
- [24] Osman, Mawia, Yonghui Xia, Omer Abdalrhman Omer, and Ahmed Hamoud, On the fuzzy solution of linear-nonlinear partial differential equations, *Mathematics* 10, no. 13 (2022), 2295.
- [25] Srivastava, H.M.; El-Sayed, A.M.A.; Gaafar, F.M. A Class of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems for an Arbitrary Fractional-Order Differential Equation with the Riemann-Stieltjes Functional Integral and Infinite-Point Boundary Conditions. *Symmetry* 2018, 10, 508.
- [26] Stanek, S. Global properties of solutions of the functional differential equation $x(\varphi)x'(\varphi) = kx(x(\varphi)), 0 < |k| < 1$. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* 2002, 9, 527-550.
- [27] Wang, K. On the equation $x'(\varphi) = f(x(x(\varphi)))$. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* 1990, 33, 405-425.
- [28] Zhong, Q.; Zhang, X. Positive solution for higher-order singular infinite-point fractional differential equation with p-Laplacian. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2016, 2016, 11.
- [29] Zhang, P.; Gong, X. Existence of solutions for iterative differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 2014, 2014, 1-10 .