



Weaker Forms on Separation Axioms

M.M. Holliyavar¹, T.D. Rayanagoudar², Sarika M. Patil³

¹R and D Department, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore- 641046, Tamil Nadu, India

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College, Rajnagar, Hubli-580032, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<p>Published Online: 21 June 2024</p> <p>Corresponding Author: T.D. Rayanagoudar</p> <p>KEYWORDS: $spg\omega\alpha$-open sets, $spg\omega\alpha$-closed sets, $spg\omega\alpha$-continuous functions, $spg\omega\alpha$-Irresolute functions, $spg\omega\alpha$-compact spaces, $spg\omega\alpha$-connected spaces.</p>	<p>In general topology, the concepts of separation axioms play an important role. The main goal of this paper is to present the study of weaker forms of separation axioms called $spg\omega\alpha$-T_1, $spg\omega\alpha$-T_2, $spg\omega\alpha$-regular and $spg\omega\alpha$-normal spaces using $spg\omega\alpha$-open sets in topological spaces. Further, the properties $spg\omega\alpha$-compact, $spg\omega\alpha$-connected and $spg\omega\alpha$-Lindelof spaces have been defined and studied their basic characterizations in topological spaces.</p>

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES:

Njastad [8], in 1984 introduced and defined α -open sets. Following the work on α -open sets, many topologists focused on generalization of topological concepts using semi-open and α -open sets. These sets play an important role in the generalization of continuity in topological spaces. Maheshwari and Prasad [6] introduced s-normal spaces using semi-open sets. Nori and Popa [9], Dorsett [2], Arya [1] and Munshi [7] studied g-regular and g-normal spaces using g-closed sets in topological spaces.

In this paper, author establish the properties of weaker forms of separation axioms called $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 , $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_1 and $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_2 spaces, $spg\omega\alpha$ -regular and $spg\omega\alpha$ -normal spaces using $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topology. Also, we defined several properties related to them. Further, authors explained the properties of $spg\omega\alpha$ -compact spaces, $spg\omega\alpha$ -connected spaces, $spg\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf spaces in topological spaces and several properties related to them.

Definition 2.1. [4] A subset A of a TS R is said to be a semi generalized $\omega\alpha$ -closed (briefly $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed) if $cl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is $\omega\alpha$ -open in R.

The family of all $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed subsets of a space R is denoted by $spg\omega\alpha$ -C(R).

Definition 2.2. [4] The intersection of all $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed sets containing a subset A of R is called $spg\omega\alpha$ -closure of A and is denoted by $spg\omega\alpha$ -cl(A).

A set A is $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed if and only if $spg\omega\alpha$ -cl(A) = A.

Definition 2.3. [4] The union of all $spg\omega\alpha$ -open sets containing a subset A of R is called $spg\omega\alpha$ -interior of A and it is denoted by $spg\omega\alpha$ -int(A).

A set A is called $spg\omega\alpha$ -open if and only if $spg\omega\alpha$ -int(A) = A.

Definition 2.4. A function $f: R \rightarrow S$ is called a

(i) $spg\omega\alpha$ -continuous [12] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed in R for every closed set V in S.

(ii) $spg\omega\alpha$ -irresolute [12] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed in R for every $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed set V in S.

(iii) $spg\omega\alpha$ -open [12] if $f(V)$ is $spg\omega\alpha$ -open in S for every open set V in R.

2. SPG $\omega\alpha$ -SEPARATION AXIOMS

The weaker forms of separation axioms are found in this section, such as $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 , $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_1 and $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_2 spaces and their related concepts.

Definition 2.1: Let (R, τ) be a TS. Then R is said to be a $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 if for each $r_1, r_2 \in R^*$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$, there exists a $spg\omega\alpha$ -open set containing one but not the other.

Example 2.2: Consider $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_3\}\}$. The R is $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 .

Theorem 2.3: A space R is $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 iff $spg\omega\alpha$ -closures of distinct points are distinct.

Proof: Let $r_1, r_2 \in R$, where R is $spg\omega\alpha$ - T_0 . Then there exists $U \in spg\omega\alpha$ -O(R) with $r_1 \in U, r_2 \notin U$, and so $r_1 \notin R-U$ and $r_2 \in R-U$, where $R-U \in spg\omega\alpha$ -C(R). We have, $spg\omega\alpha$ -cl($\{r_2\}$) is intersection of the $spg\omega\alpha$ -closed sets, which contains r_2 .

Thus, $r_2 \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. As, $r_1 \notin R-U$ and so $r_1 \notin \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_1\})$. Thus, $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_1\}) \neq \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. On the other hand, let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ holds for any pair of different points $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_1\}) \neq \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. So, there exists at least one point $r \in R$ with $r \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_1\})$ and $r \notin \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. Further, we have to prove that $r_1 \notin \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. Let $r_1 \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. Then, $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_1\}) \subseteq \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$. So, $r \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$ which is a wrong. Thus, $r_1 \notin \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$ shows that $r_1 \in R - \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$ with R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-cl}(\{r_2\})$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set containing r_1 but not r_2 . Hence R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$.

Theorem 2.4: The property of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$ space is a hereditary.

Definition 2.5: A function $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ is pre- $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open, if $\Psi(V)$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open in R , for every $V \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$.

Theorem 2.6: Let $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ be bijective, pre $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open. If R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$, then S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$.

Proof: Let Ψ be bijective, pre- $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open with R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$. Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with $s_1 \neq s_2$. Since Ψ is bijective, with $r_1, r_2 \in R$ with $\Psi(r_1) = s_1, \Psi(r_2) = s_2$. So, there exists $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set U such that $r_1 \in U, r_2 \notin U$. Thus, $\Psi(U^*)$ is $\text{spg}\omega$ -open set containing $\Psi(r_1)$ but not $\Psi(r_2)$. So, there exists $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set $\Psi(U) \in S$ such that $s_1 \in \Psi(U), s_2 \notin \Psi(U)$. Thus, S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$.

Theorem 2.7: The properties listed below are equivalent for a space R , where $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ is open under arbitrary union:

- (i) R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$,
- (ii) Each singleton set is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed,
- (iii) Each subset of R is the intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set containing it,
- (iv) The set $\{s\}$ is the intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set containing the point $r_1 \in R$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let $r \in R$ with R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$. For each $s \in R$ with $s \neq r$, there exists $U \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R, s)$ but not r . Thus, $s \in U \subseteq \{r\}^c$. So, $\{r\}^c = \bigcup \{U : s \in \{r\}^c\}$, that is $\{r\}^c$ is the union of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets and so $\{r\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let (ii) holds and $A \subseteq R$. Then for each $s \notin A$, there exists $\{s\}^c$ with $A \subseteq \{s\}^c$ where $\{s\}^c$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open in R . We get, $A = \bigcap \{\{s\}^c : s \in A^c\}$ and so, the set A is the intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets containing A .

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Proof is obvious.

(iv) \rightarrow (i): Let (4) holds and $r, s \in R$ with $r \neq s$. According to the assumption, there is a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set U_r , with $r \in U_r, s \notin U_r$. Hence the condition of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$ space satisfied. Hence, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$.

Definition 2.8: A space R is said to be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$ if for each pair of points r, s in R with $r \neq s$, there exists a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets U and V with the condition $r \in U, s \notin U$ and $s \in V, r \notin V$.

Example 2.9: Let $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_2, r_3\}\}$. The space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$.

Theorem 2.10: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$ space is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$ space.

Remark 2.11: The converse of the above examples does not hold from the following example.

Example 2.12: Let $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}\}$. The space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_0$ but not $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$. There is no $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set G with $r_1 \in \{r_1\}$ and $r_2 \notin \{r_1\}$ holds for $r_1 \neq r_2$.

Theorem 2.13: A space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$ iff R has a singleton subset $\{r\}$ which is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed in R .

Proof: Let $r \in R$ and let $s \in \{r\}^c$. Then $s \neq r$, by R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$. So, there is a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open set G such that $s \in G$ but $r \notin G$, that is for each $s \in \{r\}^c$, there exists $G \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ with $s \in G \subseteq \{r\}^c$. Thus, $\bigcup \{s : s \neq r\} \subseteq \bigcup \{G : s \neq r\} \subseteq \{r\}^c$. Thus, $\{r\}^c \subseteq \bigcup \{G : s \neq r\} \subseteq \{r\}^c$, that is $\{r\}^c = \bigcup \{G : s \neq r\}$. As, G is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open, then $\{r\}^c$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open in R and so, $\{r\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed in R .

On the other hand, let $r, s \in R$ be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed with $r \neq s$. Then $\{r\}^c, \{s\}^c$ are $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open with $s \in \{r\}^c$ but $r \notin \{r\}^c$ and $r \in \{s\}$ but $s \in \{s\}^c$. Then there are $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets $\{r\}^c$ and $\{s\}^c$ under $r \in \{s\}^c, s \in \{s\}^c$ and $s \in \{r\}^c, r \notin \{r\}^c$. So, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$.

Theorem 2.14: Let $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}C$, injective and S is T_1 . Then R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$.

Proof: Let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$. There exists $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with $s_1 \neq s_2$ such that $\Psi(r_1) = s_1$ and $\Psi(r_2) = s_2$. As S is $T_1, U, V \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(S)$, so that $s_1 \in U, s_2 \notin U$ and $s_1 \notin V, s_2 \in V$. That is $\Psi(r_1) \in U, \Psi(r_2) \notin U$ and $\Psi(r_1) \notin V, \Psi(r_2) \in V$. So, $r_1 \in \Psi^{-1}(U), r_2 \notin \Psi^{-1}(U), r_1 \notin \Psi^{-1}(V), r_2 \in \Psi^{-1}(V)$, where $\Psi^{-1}(U), \Psi^{-1}(V) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ follows from $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}C$. Thus, if $r_1, r_2 \in R$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$, there exist $\Psi^{-1}(U), \Psi^{-1}(V) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ such that $r_1 \in \Psi^{-1}(U), r_2 \notin \Psi^{-1}(U)$ and $r_1 \notin \Psi^{-1}(V), r_2 \in \Psi^{-1}(V)$. So, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$.

Definition 2.15: Let R be TS . Then R is said to be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_2$ if there are disjoint $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets U, V with the condition $r \in U$ and $s \in V$ holds for each $r, s \in R$, where $r \neq s$.

Example 2.16: Let $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_2\}, \{r_1, r_2\}\}$. The space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_2$.

Remark 2.17: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_2$ space is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$. The converse of the implication is not true follows from the example.

Example 2.18: From Example 2.16, it is clear that the space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_2$ but not $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_1$.

Theorem 2.19: The intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhoods of each point of R is a singleton set if and only if the space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-}T_2$.

Proof: Let $r, s \in R$ where $r \neq s$. Then $U, V \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ such that $r \in U, s \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, so $r \in U \subseteq R - V$ follows from the definition. Thus, $R - V$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhood of r excluding s . Hence, s not in the form part of intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhoods of r . As s is an arbitrary, the singleton set $\{r\}$ is the intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhoods of r .

On the other hand, let us consider r is the intersection of all $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhoods of any point $r \in R$ and y any arbitrary point of R with $r \neq s$. As s does not belong to the

intersection, there exists a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed neighborhood S of r with $s \notin S$. So, there exists $U \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(S)$ such that $s \in U \subseteq S$. Consequently, $U, R - S \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ under the condition $r \in U$ and $s \in R - S$ with $U \cap (R - S) = \emptyset$. Hence, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-T}_2$.

Theorem 2.20: If $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}$, injective with S is T_2 , then R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-T}_2$.

Proof: Consider two distinct points $r_1, r_2 \in R$. As Ψ is injective, there exists $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with $s_1 = \Psi(r_1)$ and $s_2 = \Psi(r_2)$. There exist $U, V \in \text{O}(S)$ with $U \cap V = \emptyset$ such that $s_1 \in U, s_2 \in V$ as S is T_2 . So, $r_1 \in \Psi^{-1}(U)$ and $r_2 \in \Psi^{-1}(V) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$. Consider $\Psi^{-1}(U) \cap \Psi^{-1}(V) = \Psi^{-1}(U \cap V) = \Psi^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Thus, for each $r_1, r_2 \in R$ with $r_1 \neq r_2$, there are $\Psi^{-1}(U), \Psi^{-1}(V) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R)$ with $\Psi^{-1}(U) \cap \Psi^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ with $r_1 \in \Psi^{-1}(U), r_2 \in \Psi^{-1}(V)$. Thus, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-T}_2$.

3. SPG $\omega\alpha$ -REGULAR SPACES:

In this section we introduce the $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular spaces in topological spaces and obtained some of their properties.

Definition 3.1: A space R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular if there are open sets U, V such that $F \subseteq U, r \in V$ with $U \cap V = \emptyset$ holds for every $F \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$ and $r \in F$.

Remark 3.2: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular space is regular but not conversely.

Example 3.3: Consider $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_2, r_3\}\}$. Then R is regular but not $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Theorem 3.4: Let R be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular and S is a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed and open subset of R . The subspace S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Proof: Let F be spg -closed subset of S with $s \in S - F$ and so $F \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$. Since R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular, there exist $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ with $s \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus $U \cap S$ and $V \cap S$ are disjoint open sets in S with $s \in U \cap S, F \subseteq V \cap S$. Thus, S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Theorem 3.5: Let $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-I}$, bijective, open with R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular. Then S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Proof: Let $F \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(S)$ with $s \in F$. For some point $r \in R$, let $s = \Psi(r)$. Then $\Psi^{-1}(s) \in R$ and $\Psi^{-1}(F) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$ as Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-I}$ where $r \in \Psi^{-1}(F)$. There $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ with $r \in U, \Psi^{-1}(F) \subseteq V$ with $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Then, $s = \Psi(r) \in \Psi(U)$ and $F \subseteq \Psi(V)$. Thus, $\Psi(U), \Psi(V) \in \text{O}(S)$ such that $s \in \Psi(U), F \subseteq \Psi(V)$ where $\Psi(U) \cap \Psi(V) = \emptyset$ holds for all $s \in S$. Hence S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Theorem 3.6: Let Ψ is pre $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open, closed, injective and S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular. Then R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

Proof: Let $r \in R$ and $F \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$ with $r \in F$. As Ψ is pre $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open, $\Psi(F) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(S)$ such that $\Psi(r) \in \Psi(F)$. As S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular, $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ such that $\Psi(r) \in U$ and $\Psi(F) \subseteq V$, that is $r \in \Psi^{-1}(U), F \subseteq \Psi^{-1}(V)$ with $\Psi^{-1}(U) \cap \Psi^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Thus, for each $r \in R$ and for every $F \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$ with $r \in F$, there exist $\Psi^{-1}(U), \Psi^{-1}(V) \in \text{O}(R)$ such that $r \in \Psi^{-1}(U)$ and

$F \subseteq \Psi^{-1}(V)$ with $\Psi^{-1}(U) \cap \Psi^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Thus, R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -regular.

4. SPG $\omega\alpha$ -NORMAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce the $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal spaces in topological spaces and obtained some of their properties

Definition 4.1: A space R is said to be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal if for any disjoint $A, B \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$, there exist $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ with $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Example 4.2: Consider $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_2\}, \{r_1, r_2\}, \{r_2, r_4\}, \{r_1, r_2, r_4\}, \{r_2, r_3, r_4\}\}$. Then R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal.

Example 4.3: Let $R = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $\tau = \{R, \emptyset, \{r_1\}, \{r_2, r_3\}\}$. Then R is normal but not $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal.

Theorem 4.4: A space R is normal if and only if there exists spg -open set $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}(U) \subseteq V$ holds for each closed set A and an open set V containing A .

Theorem 4.5: Let $\Psi: R \rightarrow S$ be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-I}$, bijective, open mapping and R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal. Then S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal

Proof: Let $A, B \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(S)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$. As Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-I}$, $\Psi^{-1}(A), \Psi^{-1}(B) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$. Then there exist $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ with $\Psi^{-1}(A) \subseteq U$ and $\Psi^{-1}(B) \subseteq V$, as Ψ is open. As Ψ is bijective, $\Psi(U), \Psi(V) \in \text{O}(S)$ such that $A \subseteq \Psi(U)$ and $B \subseteq \Psi(V)$ with $\Psi(U) \cap \Psi(V) = \emptyset$. Hence S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal.

Theorem 4.6: Let R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal and S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of R . Then the subspace S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal.

Proof: Let $A, B \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(S)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $A, B \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R)$. As R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal, there are $U, V \in \text{O}(R)$ such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. As a result, $U \cap S, V \cap S$ are disjoint open subsets in the subspace S with $A \subseteq U \cap S, B \subseteq V \cap S$. Thus, S is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -normal.

5. SPG $\omega\alpha$ -COMPACTNESS

In this section, we introduce $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, countably $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact and $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf using $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets in TS and studied their properties.

Definition 5.1: A collection $\{B^*_i: i \in I\}$ of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets in a $\text{TS } R$ is called $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover if $B^* \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} B^*_i$.

Definition 5.2: A $\text{TS } R$ is called $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact if every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R has a finite subcover.

Definition 5.3: A subset B^* of a $\text{TS } R$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to R if for every collection $\{B^*_i: i \in I\}$ of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets of R with $B^* \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} B^*_i$ there exists a finite subset I_0 of

I such that $B^* \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} B^*_i$.

Definition 5.4: A subset B^* of a $\text{TS } R$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact if B^* is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact of the subspace of R .

Theorem 5.5: A $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to R .

Proof: Let $A^* \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}(R^*)$. Then $(R - A^*) \in \text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-O}(R^*)$.

Let $S = \{A^*_i; i \in I\}$ be a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of A^* by $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open subsets. Then $S^* = S \cup (R - A^*)$ is a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover, that is $R = [\cup \{A^*_i; i \in I\}] \cup (R - A^*)$.

But, from hypothesis R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact and hence S^* is reducible to a finite subcover, say $S^* = R \cup A^*_{i_1} \cup A^*_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A^*_{i_n} \cup (R - A^*)$, $A^*_{i_k} \in S^*$. Since $A^* \cap (R - A^*) = \emptyset$, so $A^* \subseteq A^*_{i_1} \cup A^*_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A^*_{i_n} \in S$. Thus, a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover S contains a finite subcover and so A^* is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to R .

Theorem 5.6: Let Ψ be surjective, $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}$. If R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, then S is compact.

Proof: Let $\{A^*_i; i \in I\}$ be an open cover. Since Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}$, then $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i \in I\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R which has a finite subcover say $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i=1, \dots, n\}$.

Thus $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Psi^{-1}(A^*_i)$, that is $\Psi(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$. As Ψ is

surjective, that is $S^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$.

Thus $\{A^*_1, A^*_2, \dots, A^*_n\}$ is a finite subcover of $\{A^*_i; i \in I\}$. So, S is compact.

Theorem 5.7: If a function Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-I}$ and B be a subset of R which is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to R , then $\Psi(B)$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative to S .

Proof: Let $\{A^*_i; i \in I\}$ be any collection of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open sets in with $\Psi(B) = \bigcup_{i \in I} A^*_i$.

Then $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \Psi^{-1}(A^*_i)$, where $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i \in I\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -

open in R . As B is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, there exists finite subcollection $\{A^*_1, A^*_2, \dots, A^*_n\}$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} \Psi^{-1}(A^*_i)$.

Thus $\Psi(B) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} A_i$ and so $\Psi(B)$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact relative

to S .

Theorem 5.8: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space is compact.

Theorem 5.9: A TS R^* is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact if and only if every family of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed sets of R having finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

Proof: Suppose R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact and $\{A^*_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed sets with finite intersection property. We need to prove that $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \emptyset$.

On the contrary $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^* = \emptyset$. Then $R - \bigcup_{i \in I} A^*_i = R$,

that is $\bigcup_{i \in I} (R - A^*_i) = R$.

The cover $\{R - A^*_i; i \in I\}$ is a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . As R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{R - A^*_i; i \in I\}$ has a finite subcover, say $\{R - A^*_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$, that is $R =$

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n (R - A^*_i)$, which implies that $R = R - \bigcap_{i=1}^n A^*_i$, that

is $R - R = R - \left[R - \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \right]$ and so $\emptyset = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A^*_i$. This

contradicts the assumption. Hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A^*_i \neq \emptyset$.

Other part, suppose every family of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed sets of R with finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

To prove that R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact. Suppose R is not a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact. Then there exists a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover say $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ having no finite subcover. That is for any finite sub family

$\{G_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$ of $\{G_i; i \in I\}$, we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq R$ which

implies that $R - \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq R - R$, and so $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (R - G_i) \neq \emptyset$.

Then the family $\{R - G_i; i \in I\}$ of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -closed sets has a finite intersection property. By assumption

$\bigcap_{i=1}^n (R - G_i) \neq \emptyset$, that is $R - \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$ and so

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq R$. Hence $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ is not a cover of R , which

contradicts the fact that $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ is a cover for R . So, a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ has a finite subcover $\{G_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$ and so R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

Definition 5.10: A TS R is said to be countably $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact (C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact) if every countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R has a finite subcover.

Theorem 5.11: If R is a C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space, then R is countably compact.

Proof: Let $\{A_i; i \in I\}$ be a countable open cover of R by open sets in R . Then $\{A_i; i \in I\}$ is C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . As R is C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, the countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R has a finite subcover, say $S = \{A^*_i; i=1, \dots, n\}$. Hence R is countably compact.

Theorem 5.12: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space is C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

Theorem 5.13: If Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}$ from a C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space R onto S , then S is countably compact.

Proof: Let $\{A^*_i; i \in I\}$ be a countable open cover of S . As Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha\text{-C}$, then $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i \in I\}$ is countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . Since R is C. $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, the countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i \in I\}$ of R has a finite subcover say $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i); i=1, \dots, n\}$.

Thus $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \psi^{-1}(A^*_i)$ implies $\Psi(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$ and so $S =$

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$. Hence $\{A^*_1, A^*_2, \dots, A^*_n\}$ is a finite subcover for S ,

so S is countably compact.

Theorem 5.14: The image of a countably $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space under $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.I is $\text{C.spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: Let Ψ be a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.I from a $\text{C.spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space R onto S and $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ be a countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of S . Then $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ is a countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . Since R is $\text{C.spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, the countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ of R has a finite subcover say $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i = 1 \dots n\}$. Thus $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \psi^{-1}(A^*_i)$, that is $\Psi(R) =$

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$ and so $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A^*_i$. So, S is $\text{C.spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

Definition 5.15: A TS R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -Lindelöf ($\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L) if every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R has a countable subcover.

Theorem 5.16: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L space is Lindelöf.

Proof: Let R be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L. Let $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of R and so $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open in R . As R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L, the $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ of R has countable subcover. Hence R is Lindelöf.

Theorem 5.17: Every $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact space is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L.

Proof: Let R be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact and $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ be $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . Then $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ has a finite subcover say $\{A^*_i : i = 1 \dots n\}$. Since every finite subcover is always a countable subcover and so $\{A^*_i : i = 1 \dots n\}$ is a countable subcover for R . Hence R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L.

Theorem 5.18: If Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.C from a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L space R onto S , then S is Lindelöf.

Proof: Let $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of S . As Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.C, $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . Since R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L, the $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ has a countable subcover say $S = \{\Psi^{-1}(A_{i_n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Therefore $R =$

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi^{-1}(A^*_{i_n})$, that is $\Psi(R) = S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A^*_{i_n}$, where $\{A^*_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable subcover for S and so S is Lindelöf.

Theorem 5.19: The image of $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L under $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.I is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L.

Proof: Let Ψ be a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.I from $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L space R onto S . Let $\{A^*_i : i \in I\}$ be a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of S . Then $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R as Ψ is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.I. Since R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L, the $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover $\{\Psi^{-1}(A^*_i) : i \in I\}$ of R has a countable subcover say $\{\Psi^{-1}(A_{i_n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus $R =$

$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \psi^{-1}(A^*_{i_n})$ which implies $\Psi(R) = S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i_n}$, that is

$\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable subfamily of $\{A_i : i \in I\}$. Hence S is Lindelöf.

Theorem 5.20: If R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L and countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, then R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

Proof: Suppose R is countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact and $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L and $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be a $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . As R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$.L, $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ has a countable subcover say $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Therefore $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable subcover of R and $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a subfamily of $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ and so $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -open cover of R . Since R is $\text{C.spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact, $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a finite subcover say $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \{A_i : i \in I\}$ and so $\{A_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite subcover of $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ for R . Hence R is $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -compact.

REFERENCES

1. S. P. Arya and T. M. Nour, Characterizations of S-normal Spaces, Indian Jl. Pure Appl. Math., 21(8), 717-719, 1990.
2. C. Dorsett, Semi-normal Spaces, Kyungpook Math. Jl., 25, 173-180, 1985.
3. R. Devi, Studies on Generalizations of Closed Maps and Homeomorphism in Topological spaces, Ph. D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1994.
4. M. M. Holliyavar, T. D. Rayanagoudar and Sarika M. Patil, On semi-pre generalized $\omega\alpha$ -Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Global Jl. of Pure and Appl. Maths., Vol. 13 (10), (2017), 7627-7635.
5. N. Levine, Semi-open Sets and Semi Continuity in Topological Spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70, 36-41, 1963.
6. S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On S-normal Spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie., 22, 27-29, 1978.
7. B. M. Munshi, Separation Axioms, Acta Ciencia Indica, 12, 140-144, 1996.
8. O. Njastad, On Some Classes of Nearly Open Sets, Pacific Jl. Math., 15, 961-970, 1965.
9. T. Noiri and V. Popa, On G-regular Spaces and Some Functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ., 20, 67-74, 1999.
10. P. G. Patil and T.D. Rayanagoudar, Generalizations of New Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Advances in Science and Technology, Vol. 5, No. 2, 68–78, (2007).
11. P. G. Patil, $\omega\alpha$ -Compactness and $\omega\alpha$ -Connectedness in Topological Spaces, Thai Jl. of Mathematics, Vol.12, No.2, (2014), 499-507.
12. Sarika M. Patil, T. D. Rayanagoudar and M. M. Holliyavar, $\text{spg}\omega\alpha$ -Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces, IOSR Jl. of Mathematics, Vol.19, Issue 03, ser. 02, (2023), 55-57.