

On The Structure Equation $F^{2k+1} + F = 0$

Lakhan Singh¹ and Shailendra Kumar Gautam

Department of Mathematics, D.J. College, Baraut, Baghpat (U.P.), India

Eshan College of Engineering, Mathura (UP), India

Abstract:

In this paper, we have studied various properties of the F -structure manifold satisfying $F^{2k+1} + F = 0$ where k is positive integer. Nijenhuis tensor F -structures and kernel have also been discussed.

Keywords: Differentiable manifold, projection operators, Nijenhuis tensor, metric and kernel.

1. Introduction:

Let M^n be a differentiable manifold of class C^∞ and F be a $(1,1)$ tensor of class C^∞ , satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad F^{2k+1} + F = 0$$

we define the operators l and m on M^n by

$$(1.2) \quad l = -F^{2k}, \quad m = I + F^{2k}$$

From (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(1.3) \quad l + m = I, \quad l^2 = l, \quad m^2 = m, \quad lm = ml = 0$$

$$lF = Fl = F, \quad Fm = mF = 0,$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

Theorem (1.1): Let the $(1,1)$ tensors p and q be defined by

$$(1.4) \quad p = m + F^k, \quad q = m - F^k$$

Then p and q are invertible operators satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad p^{-1} = q = p^3, \quad q^{-1} = p = q^3, \quad p^2 = q^2. \quad p^2 - p - q + I = 0$$

$$=q^2-p-q+I, \quad pl=-ql=F^k, \quad pm=qm=p^2m=q^2m=m,$$

$$p^2l=-l=q^2l$$

Proof: Using (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$(1.6) \quad pq=qp=I, \text{ Thus}$$

$$(1.7) \quad p^{-1}=q, \quad q^{-1}=p$$

Also, using (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we get

$$(1.8) \quad p^3=q, \quad q^3=p$$

From (1.7) and (1.8) we have $p^{-1}=q=p^3$. Other results follow similarly.

Theorem (1.2): Let the (1,1) tensors α and β be defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \alpha=l+F^k, \quad \beta=l-F^k, \text{ then}$$

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha^2+\beta^2=0, \quad \alpha^3+2\beta=0, \quad \beta^3+2\alpha=0$$

Proof: Using (1.2), (1.3) and (1.9), we get

$$\alpha^2=2F^k, \quad \beta^2=-2F^k \text{ Thus we get } \alpha^2+\beta^2=0$$

The other results follow similarly.

Theorem (1.3): Define the (1,1) tensors γ and δ by

$$(1.11) \quad \gamma=m+F^{2k}, \quad \delta=m-F^{2k}, \text{ then}$$

$$(1.12) \quad \gamma^{-1}=\gamma \text{ and } \delta=I$$

Proof: Using (1.2), (1.3) and (1.11), we get

$$(1.13) \quad \gamma=m-l, \quad \gamma^2=I \quad \text{thus } \gamma^{-1}=\gamma \text{ and } \delta=m+l=I$$

Theorem (1.4): Define the (1,1) tensors ξ and η by

$$(1.14) \quad \xi=m+F, \quad \eta=m-F, \text{ then}$$

$$(1.15) \quad \xi^n=m+F^n, \quad \eta^n=m+(-1)^n F^n$$

Proof: Using (1.3) and (1.14), we have

$$\eta^2 = m + F^2, \quad \eta^3 = m - F^3, \dots, \eta^n = m + (-1)^n F^n$$

The other results follow similarly

2. NIJENHUIS TENSOR:

The Nijenhuis tensors corresponding to the operators F, l, m be defined as

$$(2.1) \quad N(X, Y) = [FX, FY] + F^2[X, Y] - F[FX, Y] - F[X, FY]$$

$$(2.2) \quad {}_lN(X, Y) = [lX, lY] + l^2[X, Y] - l[lX, Y] - l[X, lY]$$

$$(2.3) \quad {}_mN(X, Y) = [mX, mY] + m^2[X, Y] - m[mX, Y] - m[X, mY]$$

Theorem (2.1): Let F, l, m satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), then

$$(2.4) \quad (i) \quad N(mX, mY) = F^2[mX, mY]$$

$$(ii) \quad mN(mX, mY) = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad {}_lN(mX, mY) = l[mX, mY]$$

$$(iv) \quad {}_mN(lX, lY) = m[lX, lY]$$

$$(v) \quad {}_lN(lX, mY) = 0$$

$$(vi) \quad {}_mN(mX, lY) = 0$$

Proof: With proper replacements of X and Y in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), and using (1.3) we get the

reuslts.

3. METRIC F-STRUCTURE:

Let the Riemannian metric g be such that

$$(3.1) \quad F(X, Y) = g(FX, Y) \text{ is skew-symmetric. Then}$$

$$(3.2) \quad g(FX, Y) = -g(X, FY), \text{ and}$$

$\{F, g\}$ is called metric F -structure.

Theorem (3.1): On the metric structure- F , satisfying (1.1) we have

$$(3.3) \quad g(F^k X, F^k Y) = (-1)^{k+1} [g(X, Y) - m(X, Y)]$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad m(X, Y) = g(mX, Y) = g(X, mY).$$

Proof: From (1.2), (1.3) and (3.2), (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} g(F^k X, F^k Y) &= (-1)^k g(X, F^{2k} Y) \\ &= (-1)^k g(X, lY) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} g(X, (I - m)Y), \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} [g(X, Y) - m(X, Y)] \end{aligned}$$

4. KERNEL:

Let F be a (1,1) tensor, we define

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ker}(F) = \{X : FX = 0\}$$

Theorem (4.1): For the (1,1) tensor F satisfying (1.1), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Ker } F = \text{Ker } F^2 = \dots = \text{Ker } F^{2k+1}$$

Proof: Let $X \in \text{Ker } F$

$$\Rightarrow FX = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F^2 X = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X \in \text{Ker } F^2$$

Thus

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Ker } F \subseteq \text{Ker } F^2$$

Now let $X \in \text{Ker } F^2$

$$(4.4) \quad F^2 X = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F^3 X = 0$$

$$(4.5) \quad F^{2K+1} X = 0 \quad \text{Using (1.1) in (4.5), we have}$$

$$(4.6) \quad FX = 0 \Rightarrow X \in \text{Ker } F \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Ker } F^2 \subseteq \text{Ker } F$$

From (4.3) and (4.7), we get

$$(4.8) \quad \text{Ker } F = \text{Ker } F^2$$

Proceeding similarly, we get (4.2)

REFERENCES:

- A Bejancu: On semi-invariant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifold. An Stiint Univ., "A.I.I. Cuza" Lasi Sec. Ia Mat. (Supplement) 1981, 17-21.
- 2. B. Prasad: Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Lorentzian Para-sasakian manifold, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series) 21 (1988), 21-26.
- 3. F. Careres: Linear invairant of Riemannian product manifold, Math Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 91 (1982), 99-106.
- 4. Endo Hiroshi: On invariant sub manifolds of connect metric manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math 22 (6) (June-1991), 449-453.
- 5. H.B. Pandey & A. Kumar: Anti-invariant sub manifold of almost para contact manifold. Prog. Of Maths Volume 21(1): 1987.
- 6. K. Yano: On a structure defined by a tensor field f of the type (1,1) satisfying $f^3+f=0$. Tensor N.S., 14 (1963), 99-109.

- 7. R. Nivas & S. Yadav: On CR-structures and $F_\lambda(2\nu+3, 2)$ - HSU - structure satisfying $F^{2\nu+3} + \lambda' F^2 = 0$, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXVII M, No. 4, 645 (2012).
- 8. Abhisek Singh, Ramesh Kumar Pandey & Sachin Khare : On horizontal and complete lifts of (1,1) tensor fields F satisfying the structure equation $F(2k+S, S)=0$. International Journal of Mathematics and soft computing. Vol. 6, No. 1 (2016), 143-152, ISSN 2249-3328