



## **Properties of a Certain Subclass of Multivalent Function Defined by using Generalized Ruscheweyh Derivative**

**Shivani Indora<sup>1</sup>, Sushil Kumar Bissu<sup>2</sup>, Manisha Summerwar<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sophia Girls' College (Autonomous), Ajmer, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Director, Regional Centre College Education, Samrat Prithviraj Chauhan Government College, Ajmer, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government School, Nagure, India

### **ARTICLE INFO**

**Published Online:**  
**06 February 2025**

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Shivani Indora**

**KEYWORDS:** Generalised Ruscheweyh Derivative, multivalent function, radii of close to convexity, starlikeness and convexity.

### **ABSTRACT**

In this research paper, we work on various analytic and geometric properties of a new subclass of analytic and multivalent function defined under the open unit disk by using generalized ruscheweyh derivative operator. These properties mainly include Radii of close – to – convexity, starlikeness and convexity, arithmetic mean property and convex set property for the analytic and multivalent function belonging to this new subclass.

### **II. RADII OF CLOSE – TO – CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY**

In this section, we have derive result related to radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for function  $f(z)$  belonging to new subclass  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  of multivalent function.

**Theorem 1:** Let us consider  $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$

belonging to class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  then the function  $f(z)$  is p-valent close to convex of order m;  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R$ ; where

$$R_1 = \inf_{k \geq n+1} \left[ \left( \frac{p-m}{k} \right) \left( B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \right) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}} \quad (2)$$

**Proof:** To show  $f(z)$  is p-valent close-to-convex of order m;  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R_1$  it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - m \quad |z| < R_1 \quad (3)$$

**Definition 1:** A function  $f(z)$  is said to be in a class  $S(P, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  if it satisfy the following condition [6]

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^2 (J_p^{\delta, \mu} f(z))'' + z(1-\gamma)(J_p^{\delta, \mu} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)(J_p^{\delta, \mu} f(z)) + \gamma z^2 (J_p^{\delta, \mu} f(z))''} \right\} > \lambda \quad (1)$$

For

$$z \in U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < p,$$

$$\delta > -1$$

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| = \left| \frac{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k k z^{k-1}}{z^{p-1}} - p \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k k z^{k-1} - pz^{p-1}}{z^{p-1}} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k k z^{k-1}}{z^{p-1}} \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k \alpha_k |z|^{k-p}$$

$$R_2' = \inf_{k \geq n+p} \left[ \left( \frac{p-m}{k-m} \right) \left( B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \right) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}} \quad (4)$$

**Proof:** To show the function  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent starlike function of order  $m$ ;  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R_2'$  it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| \leq p - m \quad |z| < R_2' \quad (5)$$

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| = \left| \frac{z \left[ pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k \alpha_k z^{k-1} \right]}{z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k} - p \right|$$

The inequality (3) is less than  $p-m$  if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p-m} \alpha_k |z|^{k-p} < 1$$

Since  $f(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left\{ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right\} < 1$$

The inequality (3) hold true if

$$\frac{k}{p-m} |z|^{k-p} < B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left\{ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right\}$$

or

$$|z|^{k-p} < \left( \frac{p-m}{k} \right) \left( B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \right) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right)$$

Thus

$$|z| < R_1' = \inf_{k \geq n+p} \left[ \left( \frac{p-m}{k} \right) \left( B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \right) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2:** Let us consider  $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$  belonging to the class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  then the function  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent starlike of order  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R_2'$

$$= \left| \frac{pz^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k \alpha_k z^k - pz^p + p \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k}{z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k-p) \alpha_k z^k}{z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(k-p) \alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}$$

The above inequality (5) is less than  $p - m$  if

$$\frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (k-p) \alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k |z|^{k-p}} < p - m$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k-m}{p-m} \right) \alpha_k |z|^{k-p} < 1$$

Since  $f(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left\{ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right\} < 1$$

The inequality (5) hold true if

$$\left( \frac{k-m}{p-m} \right) |z|^{k-p} < B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left\{ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-p)\alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}{p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}$$

$$|z| < R'_3 = \inf_{k \geq n+p} \left[ \left( \frac{p-m}{k-m} \right) (B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k)) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3:** Let us consider  $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$

belonging to the class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  then the function  $f(z)$  is p-valent convex function of order m;  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R'_3$ .

$$R'_3 = \inf_{k \geq n+p} \left[ \left( \frac{p(p-m)}{k(k-m)} \right) B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}} \quad (6)$$

**Proof:** To show  $f(z)$  is p-valent convex function of order m;  $0 \leq m < p$  in  $|z| < R'_3$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (1-p) \right| \leq p-m \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (1-p) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{z \left[ p(p-1)z^{p-2} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(k-1)\alpha_k z^{k-2} \right]}{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k z^{k-1}} + (1-p) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{p(p-1)z^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(k-1)\alpha_k z^{k-1} + (1-p)pz^{p-1} - (1-p) \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k z^{k-1}}{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k z^{k-1}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left| \frac{- \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} [k^2 - k + k - kp]\alpha_k z^{k-1}}{pz^{p-1} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k z^{k-1}} \right|$$

The inequality (7) is less than or equal to p-m if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-p)\alpha_k |z|^{k-p}}{p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\alpha_k |z|^{k-p}} \leq p-m$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-m)}{p(p-m)} \alpha_k |z|^{k-p} \leq 1$$

Since  $f(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] < 1$$

The inequality (7) hold true if

$$\frac{k(k-m)}{p(p-m)} |z|^{k-p} < B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right]$$

Thus we get

$$|z| < R'_3 = \inf_{k \geq n+p} \left[ \left( \frac{p(p-m)}{k(k-m)} \right) (B_p^{\delta, \mu}(k)) \left( \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-p}}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

### III. ARITHMETIC MEAN PROPERTY

**Theorem 4:** Let us consider two functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  such that  $f(z), g(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  then the function  $h(z)$  defined as  $h(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + g(z))$  is also belonging to the class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$ .

**Proof:** Let us consider  $f(z), g(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  and defined as

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$$

$$\text{and } g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k$$

then we have

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \alpha_k < 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \beta_k < 1$$

$$\text{To prove: } h(z) = \frac{1}{2} (f(z) + g(z))$$

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right) z^k \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$$

For this we show that

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \frac{(\alpha_k + \beta_k)}{2} < 1$$

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \frac{(\alpha_k + \beta_k)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \alpha_k$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \beta_k$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

#### IV. CONVEX SET PROPERTY

**Theorem 5:** Let us consider two functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  such that  $f(z), g(z) \in S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  then the function  $h(z)$  defined as

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (\varphi \alpha_k + (1-\varphi) \beta_k) z^k$$

also belonging to class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$

**Proof:** Let  $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$

and  $g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k$

belonging to class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  so we have

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \alpha_k < 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \beta_k < 1$$

$$\text{To prove: } h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (\varphi \alpha_k + (1-\varphi) \beta_k) z^k$$

belonging to class  $S(p, \gamma, \lambda, \delta)$  for this we show that

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] (\varphi \alpha_k + (1-\varphi) \beta_k) < 1$$

From

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] (\varphi \alpha_k + (1-\varphi) \beta_k)$$

$$= \varphi \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \alpha_k$$

$$+ (1-\varphi) \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} B_p^{\delta,\mu}(k) \left[ \frac{k[(k-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{p[(p-1)(1-\lambda\gamma)+1-\gamma]-\lambda(1-\gamma)} \right] \beta_k$$

$$< \varphi + (1-\varphi) = 1$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

1. Al Dawish, I., Darus, M., and Ibrahim, R. W. (2014). Concavity of Ruscheweyh differential operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 37, 73-82.
2. Aouf, M. K., Seoudy, T. M., and El-Hawsh, G. M. (2017). Subclass of Meromorphic Univalent Function Defined by Ruscheweyh Derivative with Fixed Second Coefficients. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 41(4).
3. Atshan, W. G., Kulkarni, S. R., and Raina, R. K. (2008). A class of multivalent harmonic functions involving a generalized Ruscheweyh type operator. *Matematički Vesnik*, 60(233), 207-213.

4. Deniz, E., and Orhan, H. (2010). Some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator. Czechoslovak mathematical journal, 60(3), 699-713.
5. Juma, A. R. S., & Kulkarni, S. R. (2009). Applications of generalized Ruscheweyh derivative to univalent functions with finitely many coefficients. Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications, 4, 77-88.
6. Indora, S., Bissu, S.K., Summerwar, M. (2024). On a certain Subclass of Multivalent Function defined by Generalised Ruscheweyh Derivative. Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, 13(6), 109-118.  
<https://doi.org/10.11648/j.pamj.20241306.14>
7. Keerthi, B. S., Gangadharan, A., and Srivastava, H. M. (2008). Neighborhoods of certain subclasses of analytic functions of complex order with negative coefficients. Mathematical and computer modelling, 47(3-4), 271-277.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2007.04.004>
8. Khosravianarab, S.. Kulkami, S. R., and Ahuja, O. P. (2009). Some Properties of Fractional Calculus and Linear Operators Associated with Certain Subclass of Multivalent Functions. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2009. doi:10.1155/2009/450632
9. Najafzadeh, S., and Kulkarni, S. R. (2008). Study of Certain Properties of Univalent Functions Based on Ruscheweyh Derivative. Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 32(3).
10. Özkan, O., and Altintas, O. (2006). On neighborhoods of a certain class of complex order defined by Ruscheweyh derivative operator. JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math, 7(3), 7.
11. Patel, J., and Sahoo, P. (2003). Properties of a class of multivalent analytic functions. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 46(10-11), 1633-1644.[https://doi.org/10.1016/S08981221\(03\)90198-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S08981221(03)90198-2)
12. Raina, R. K., and Srivastava, H. M. (2006). Inclusion and neighborhood properties of some analytic and multivalent functions. J. Inequal Pure Appl. Math, 7(1), 1-6.
13. Vijaywargiya, P. (2009), Convolution Properties of Certain Class of Multivalent Meromorphic Functions. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 49(4).
14. Yang, J., & Li, S. (2012). Properties of Certain subclass of multivalent functions with negative coefficients. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2012.  
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/362312>
15. Yuan, S. M... Liu, Z. M., and Srivastava, H. M. (2008). Some inclusion relationships and integral preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 337(1), 505-515.  
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/362312>