Common Fixed Point Theorems in Compact Fuzzy 3-Metric Space Using Implicit Relation

Kavita Shrivastava

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Dr. Harisingh Gour University Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract:

An extensive study in fuzzy metric space, fuzzy 2-metric space, compact fuzzy metric space and compact fuzzy 2-metric space had been done by Sharma [3]and shrivastava[4], using this concept we have generalizing the result of Aliouche [1] in the context of compact fuzzy3-metric space.

Keywords: lass of Implicit Relation, Compact Fuzzy 3- Metric Space. Weak-compatible

Introduction:

Gahlar [2] who furnished the concept of 2-metric space, and this concept has prospered very fast in various directions. It is to be remarked that Sharma, Sharma and Iseki [3] studied for first time contraction type mapping in 2-metric space. Wenzhi [5] and many others preceded the study of probabilisstic 2-metric space. We know that a 2-metric is a real valued function with domain $X \times X \times X$, whose abstract properties were suggested by the area function in Euclidean space. Now it is natural to expect 3-metric space which is suggested by the volume function. Now we generalized the work of shrivastava[4] in the context of fuzzy 3-metric space.

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN COMPACT FUZZY 3-METRIC SPACE SATISFYING AN IMPLICIT RELATION

Definition 1. The mapping $* : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called **t-norm** if

(1) * (a, 1, 1, 1) = a for all $a \in [0,1]$

(2) * (a, b, c, d) = * (a, c, d, b) = * (c, d, a, b)

(3) * (a, b, c, d) \leq * (d, c, f, g); whenever a \leq d, b \leq c, c \leq f and d \leq g

(4)
$$(* (a, b, c), d, c, f) = * (a, * (b, c, d), e, f)$$

$$= * (a, b, * (c, d, e), f) = * (a, b, c, * (d, e, f))$$

Definition 2. The 3- tuple (X, M, *) is called a **fuzzy 3-metric space** if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in $X^4 \ge [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all x, y, z, w, u $\in X$ and $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0$

(1)
$$M(x, y, z, w, 0) = 0$$
,

(2)
$$M(x, y, z, w, t) = 1$$
; for all $t > 0$, [only when at least two of the four points are equal]

(3)
$$M(x, y, z, w, t) = M(x, w, z, y) = M(y, z, w, x, t) = M(z, w, x, y, t) = \dots,$$

$$(4) \qquad M(x, y, z, w, t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4) \geq M(x, y, z, u, t) * M(x, y, u, w_1t_2) *$$

 $M(x, u, z, w, t_3) * M(u, y, z, w, t_4),$

(3)
$$M(x, y, z, w, .) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$$
 is left continuous,

(4) $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x, y, z, w, t) = 1.$

The function value M(x, y, z, w, t) may be interpreted as the probability that the volume of tertrahedron is less then t.

Definition 3. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy 3-metric space. Then we define an **open ball** with centre $x_0 \in X$ and radius r, 0 < r < 1, t > 0 as

B (x_0, r, t) = { $y, \in X : S(x_0, y, z, w, t) > 1 - r$

Definition 4. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy 3-metric space. Define

 $\tau = \{ A \subset X : x \in A \text{ if and only if there exist } t > 0 \text{ and } 0 < r < 1 \}$

such that $B(x_0, r, t) \subset A$.

Then τ is a topology on X.

Definition 5. Let (X, M, *) be fuzzy 3-metric space. Then a collection $C = \{G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda ; where \ G_{\alpha}$ is open sets of X} is said to be a **open cover** of X if $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha} = X.$

Definition 6. A fuzzy 3-metric space (X, M, *) is said to be **compact** if every open covering of X has a finite subcovering.

Definition 7. Let (X, M, *) is fuzzy 3-metric space

(1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be **convergent** to a point $x \in X$, if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}M(x_n\ x,a,b,t)=1, \ \text{for all } a,b\in X \ \text{and} \ t>0.$

(2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a **cauchy sequence**, if

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_{n+p} \, x_{n,} \, a, \, b, \, t) = 1, \ \text{ for all } a, \, b \, \in \, X \text{ and } t > 0, \, p > 0.$

(3) A fuzzy 3-metric space in which every cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 8. A function M is **continuous** in fuzzy 3-metric space iff whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$, $y_n \rightarrow y$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, y_n, a, b, t) = M(x, y, a, b, t);$

for all $a, b, \in X$ and t > 0.

Definition 9. Two mappings A and S on fuzzy 3-metric space (X, M, *) are compatible if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, a, b, t) = 1 \hspace{0.2cm} ; \hspace{0.2cm} \text{for all } a, b \in X, \hspace{0.2cm} t > 0.$

Whenever, $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = x \in X.$$

Definition 10. Self mapping A and S of a fuzzy 3-metric space (X, M, *) are said to be **weak-compatible** if they commute of their coincidence point

Theorem 1. Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a compact fuzzy 3-metric space (X, M, *) satisfying

(a) $S(X) \subset B(X)$ and $T(X) \subset A(X)$,

(b) the pair (A, S) and (T, B) are weak-compatible,

(c) S and A are continuous,

(d) inequality

F [M(Sx, Ty, a, b, t), M(Ax, By, a, b, t), M(Ax, Sx, a, b, t),

M(By, Ty, a, b, t), M(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), M(Sx, By, a, b, t)] > 0

 $\forall x, y, a, b \in X \text{ and } F \in F_6 \text{ satisfying } (C_1), (C_2) \text{ and } (C_3) \text{ for which one of }$

M(Ax, By, a, b, t), M(Ax, Sx, a, b, t) and M(By, Ty, a, b, t) is positive.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let $K = Sup \{ M(Ax, Sx, a, b, t) ; b, x, a \in X, t > 0 \}.$

Since X is compact, then there is a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ with limit $x_0 \in X$ such that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}M(Ax_n,Sx_n,a,b,t)=K,\quad\forall\ t>0.$

Since M(Ax₀, Sx₀, a, b, t) \geq M(Ax₀, Sx₀, a, Sx_n, 1/16) * M(Ax₀, Sx₀, Sx_n, Ax_n, 1/16) *

 $M(Ax_0, Sx_n, a, Ax_n, 1/16) * M(Sx_n, Sx_0, a, Ax_n, 1/16) *$

 $M(Ax_0, Sx_0, Sx_n, b, 1/16) * M(Ax_0, Sx_n, Ax_n, b, 1/16) *$

 $M(Sx_n, Sx_0, Ax_n, b, 1/16) * M(Ax_0, Sx_n, a, b, 1/16) *$

 $M(Sx_n, Ax_n, a, b, 1/16) * M(Sx_n, Sx_0, a, b, 1/16).$

By the continuity of A and S and lim $x_n = x_0$, we get

 $M(Ax_0, Sx_0, a, b, t) \geq K \,, \ \forall \ t > 0$

Hence

 $M(Ax_0, Sx_0, a, b, t) = K.$ [by the definition of K]

Since $S(x) \subset B(x)$, then there exists $v \in X$ such that $Sx_0 = Bv$ and

 $M(Ax_0, Bv, a, b, t) = K$

We have to prove that K = 1, suppose on the contrary that $K \neq 1$ then K < 1. Putting $x = x_0$, y = v in (d), we get, F [M(Sx_0, Tv, a, b, t), M(Ax_0, Bv, a, b, t), M(Ax_0, Sx_0, a, b, t),

 $M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Ax_0, Tv, a, b, t), M(Sx_0, Bv, a, b, t)] > 0$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad F [M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), K, K, M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Ax_0, Tv, a, b, t), 1] > 0.$

By (C_a) , we get

M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t) > K.

Since $T(X) \subset A(X)$ then there exists $u \in X$ such that Tv = Au and

 $M(Au, Bv, a, b, t) > K, \forall t > 0.$ Since by the definition of K, $M(Au, Su, a, b, t) \leq K > 0.$ Putting x = u, y = v in (d), we obtain F [M(Su, Tv, a, b, t), M(Au, Bv, a, b, t), M(Au, Su, a, b, t), M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Au, Tv, a, b, t), M(Su, Bv, a, b, t) > 0F [M(Au, Su, a, b, t), M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Au, Su, a, b, t), \Rightarrow $M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), 1, M(Su, Bv, a, b, t)] > 0, \forall t > 0.$ By (C_b) , we get, $K \ge M(Au, Su, a, b, t) > M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t) > K$ which is a contradiction. Then K = 1 which implies that $Ax_0 = Sx_0 = Bv$. If M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t) < 1. Putting $x = x_0$, y = v in (d), we have F [M(Sx₀, Tv, a, b, t), M(Ax₀, Bv, a, b, t), M(Ax₀, Sx₀, a, b, t), $M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Ax_0, Tv, a, b, t), M(Sx_0, Bv, a, b, t)] > 0$ F [M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), 1, 1, M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), 1] > 0 \Rightarrow which is a contradiction of (C_3) . Therefore M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t) = 1. We get $Bv = Tv = Sx_0 = Ax_0 = z$. Since the pair (S, A) is weak-compatible, then Az = SzNow, we are going to show that z = Sz i.e. M(z, Sz, a, b, t) = 1Suppose on the contrary that $M(z, Sz, a, b, t) \neq 1$, then M(z, Sz, a, b, t) < 1. Putting x = z, y = v in (d), we get F [M(Sz, Tv, a, b, t), M(Az, Bv, a, b, t), M(Az, Sz, a, b, t), M(Bv, Tv, a, b, t), M(Az, Tv, a, b, t), M(Sz, Bv, a, b, t)] > 0F [M(Sz, z, a, b, t), M(Sz, z, a, b, t), 1, 1, M(Sz, z, a, b, t), \Rightarrow M(Sz, z, a, b, t)] > 0which is a contradiction of (C_3) Therefore z = Sz = Az. (1)Again, since the pair (B,T) is weak-compatible, we get Tz = Bz. (2) For showing z = Tz, suppose on the contrary that $z \neq Tz$,

then M(z, Tz, a, t) < 1. Putting x = z, y = z in (d), we have

Therefore z = Tz.

(3)

From (1) (2) and (3) z = Bz = Tz = Az = Sz.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Uniqueness

Let z' be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T i.e. z' = Az' = Bz' = Sz' = Tz' and M(z, z', a, b) < 1. By putting x = z, y = z' in (d), we have F [M(Sz, Tz', a, b, t), M(Az, Bz', a, b, t), M(Az, Bz, a, b, t), M(Bz', Tz', a, b, t), M(Az, Tz', a, b, t), M(Sz, Bz', a, b, t)] > 0 \Rightarrow F [M(z, z', a, b, t), M(z, z', a, b, t), 1, 1, M(z, z', a, b, t), M(z, z', a, b, t)] > 0 which is a contradiction. Hence z' = z.

Hence z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

REFRENCES:

- [1] Aliouche, A. : A common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in compact metric spaces satisfying an implicit relation, *Sarajevo Journal of Mathematics 3 (15)*, 2007, 123-130.
- [2] Gähler, S. : 2-Metrische Räume and ihre topologische structure, *Math. Nachr.*, 26 1983, 115-148.
- [3] Sharma, P.L. Sharma B.K., and Iseki, K. : Contractive type mapping on 2- Metric space, *Math. Japonica*, *21*, 1976, 67-70.
- [4] Shrivastava, K : Common fixed point theorems satisfying an implicit relation, International *Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering*, 5(8), 2016, 206 215
- [5] Wenzhi, Z. : Probabilistic 2-metric space, J. Math. Research Expo., 2, 1987, 241-245.